For official use only (date received): 17/08/2021 09:20:53

☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

☐ Other

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/Q4245/W/20/3258552

DETAILS OF THE CASE	
Appeal Reference	APP/Q4245/W/20/3258552
Appeal By	ACCRUE (FORUM) 1 LLP
Site Address	Former B&Q Great Stone Road Old Trafford Trafford M32 0YP
SENDER DETAILS	
Name	DR URSULA GONTHIER
Address	22 Headingley Drive MANCHESTER M16 0JP
ABOUT YOUR COMI	MENTS
In what capacity do yo	ou wish to make representations on this case?
 □ Appellant □ Agent ☑ Interested Party / I □ Land Owner □ Rule 6 (6) 	Person
What kind of represen	station are you making?
☐ Final Comments☐ Proof of Evidence☐ Statement☐ Statement of Comment	mon Cround

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Views of a local resident living adjacent to the prosposed site:

There is no requirement in this area for 333 flats. The demand is for houses. As any local estate agent will tell you, the property market in South Manchester for flats has completely collapsed in the pandemic - everyone wants a house with outdoor space. Please build affordable houses.

The proposed underground parking space is dangerous and will lead to anti-social behaviour. In addition, there is not enough parking provided. Residents of the proposed flats will therefore park on surrounding roads leading to severe congestion and affecting children's safety (Kings Rd Primary, St Theresa's Primary). Build houses with off-road parking.

A tall apartment building is also out of keeping with this low-rise residential area. This development is completely inappropriate for the locality and does not correspond to the demand in the local property market.

Trafford Council may not have returned their decision in a timely manner, but it was the right decision. This application should be rejected. It is outdated and was drafted pre-pandemic. It needs updating to reflect local demands in the current context.

I have objected to all previous applications for building apartments on this site, and will continue to object until a developer proposes an appropriate plan for houses including street-level parking, electric car charging points, secure cycle storage, green spaces and amenities. These would sell instantly to families looking to move out of Chorlton.