

**Joint Position Statement: Policy**

Trafford Local Plan

Status: Adopted

Policy	Local planning authority		Appellant	
	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021
SO1 – Meeting housing needs	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Yes
SO2 - Regenerate	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Yes
SO6 – Reduce the need to travel	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Yes
SO7 – Secure sustainable development	No	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Yes
OTO1 – Residential Offer	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Yes
OTO2 – Re-use of land	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is	Yes	Yes

		considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.		
OTO5 – Appearance and quality of environment	No	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Yes
OTO11 – Maximise potential of Lancashire County Cricket Club	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Yes
OTO12 – Local Shopping Centres	No	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Yes
OTO16 – Open space provision and outdoor sports facilities	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Yes
OTO24 – Green roofs and tree planting	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Yes
OTO25 – Historic buildings and landmarks	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be	No	No – this policy treats ‘historic buildings’ and ‘landmarks’ equally and does comply with

		afforded to this policy.		NPPF's approach to heritage assets and non-designated assets; moreover landmarks do not necessarily have heritage significance.  I'd say limited weight.
SL3 – LCC Strategic Location	Yes	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. With specific regard to housing land supply and heritage it is considered to be partly out of date, less weight should be afforded to these parts of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.	Yes	Agree with LPA
L1 – Land for New Homes	Yes	The parts of this policy which relate to housing land requirements do not generally accord with the NPPF and are mainly considered to be out of date. Limited weight should be afforded to this policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with	Yes	Agree with LPA

		the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.		
L2 – Meeting Housing Needs	Yes	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF however reference to housing number and housing land supply is out of date. Less weight should be afforded to part of this policy.	Yes	There are some variations from the NPPF but we agree general consistency.
L3 – Regeneration and Reducing Inequalities	Yes	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF however reference to housing number and housing land supply is out of date. Less weight should be afforded to part of this policy.	Yes	Agree with LPA
L4 – Sustainable Transport and Accessibility	Yes	<p>The key test in this policy is not consistent with the NPPF and is out of date and less weight should be afforded to this in the assessment of the impact on the highway network.</p> <p>The setting of maximum parking standards as set out in section L4.15 and appendix 3 is inconsistent with</p>	No	Agree with LPA

		the NPPF and in that regard is considered out of date and less weight should be afforded to this part of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.		
L5 – Climate Change	Yes	This policy is generally not consistent with the NPPF. Less weight should be afforded to this policy in respect of climate change. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.	Yes	Agree with LPA
L7 – Design	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Agree with LPA
L8 – Planning Obligation	Yes	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF, with some sections out of date. Less weight can be given to this policy.	Yes	Agree with LPA
W2 Town Centres and Retail	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to	No	Agree with LPA

		date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.		
R1 – Historic Environment	Yes	This policy is not generally consistent with the NPPF and in the main is considered out of date. Less weight should be afforded to this policy.	Yes	Agree with LPA
R3 – Green Infrastructure	No	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Agree with LPA
R5 – Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Agree with LPA
R6 – Culture and Tourism	Yes	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.	No	Agree with LPA

#### Draft Civic Quarter Area Action Plan

Status: The Regulation 19 Draft CQAAP was consulted on between 20 January and 5 March 2021. On 11th November 2021 Trafford's Planning and Development Management Committee gave its approval to the formal submission of the CQAAP to the Secretary of State pursuant to Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Submission took place on 26th November 2021.

Envisaged progress: A Programme Officer has been appointed and an Examination webpage is in place. Confirmation is now awaited from the Planning Inspectorate in terms of the dates for the Examination hearing sessions and the appointment of an Inspector.

	Local planning authority		Appellant	
	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021
CQ AAP	Yes – submitted to PINS on 26.11.2021. Examination expected early 2022.	Fully compliant	No – these policies are not yet development plan policy	Agree with LPA

### Trafford Unitary Development Plan

Status: Adopted and saved

	Local planning authority		Appellant	
Policy	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021
H3 – Land Release for New Housing Development	No – partially replaced by L1	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit most elements of the policy have been implemented.	No	Agree with LPA
H10 – Priority Regeneration Area: Old Trafford	No – partially replaced	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.	No	Agree with LPA
OSR8 - Improvement and provision of Outdoor Sports Facilities	No	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.	No	Agree with LPA

S10 - Local and Neighbourhood Shopping Centres	No	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.	No	Agree with LPA
--	----	--	----	----------------

## Draft Trafford Local Plan

Status: The Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan was consulted on in February 2021

Envisaged progress: The Council intends to consult on the Regulation 19 Submission Version Local Plan in Summer 2022 as set out in the Local Development Scheme. The timetable in the LDS is as follows which also shows the PfE timetable:

Purpose	Chain of conformity	Preparing the Plan (Reg 18) <sup>4</sup>	Publication: Formal engagement on the draft Plan (Reg 19)	Submission to the Secretary of State (Reg 22)	Independent Examination (Reg 24) <sup>5</sup>	Adoption (Reg 26)
<b>"PLACES FOR EVERYONE"</b>						
A strategic document primarily setting out future housing and employment requirements across GM (excluding the Stockport Local Authority area), the infrastructure requirements to deliver this and the environmental capacity to accommodate this in the most sustainable manner.	National Planning Policy	October 2016 (1 <sup>st</sup> draft consultation)  January 2019 (2 <sup>nd</sup> draft consultation)	August 2021	Spring 2022	Autumn 2022 (timetable set by Planning Inspectorate)	2023
<b>TRAFFORD LOCAL PLAN</b>						
Sets a vision, core objectives and new allocations for housing, employment and other purposes and to identify the areas to be safeguarded from development.	National Planning Policy, PFE	July 2018 (Local Plan Issues consultation)  February 2021 (draft Local Plan consultation)	Summer 2022	Winter 2022	Summer 2023 (timetable set by Planning Inspectorate)	2023

## Supplementary Planning Documents:

	Local planning authority		Appellant	
SPD	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021
Revised SPD1 – Planning Obligations 2014	Yes	Acknowledge that this is not a Development Plan Policy, however it is fundamental to the understanding and	No – not a development plan policy	Agree with LPA



		<p>interpretation of CS Policy L8.</p> <p>This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. However reference to CIL Regulation 123 lists and the pooling of contributions is out of date.</p>		
SPD3 – Parking Standards and Design (2012)	No	The setting of maximum parking standards as set out in Appendix 1 is inconsistent with the NPPF and in that regard is considered out of date and less weight should be afforded to this part of the SPD.	No	Agree with LPA

## Supplementary Planning Guidance:

	Local planning authority		Appellant	
SPG	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021	Most important policy?	Compliance with Framework 2021
PG1 – New Residential Development Planning Guidelines (2004)	No	Broadly sets out the importance of good design as set out in the NPPF. Limited weight should be afforded to this document.	No – not development plan policy	Not where guidance stems from PPG3