

PROOF OF EVIDENCE FOR PUBLIC INQUIRY IN RESPECT OF ISSUES

SUMMARY OF ARCHAEOLOGY MATTERS

RELATED TO

**THE OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION FOR UP TO 400 DWELLINGS,
INCLUDING THE CREATION OF NEW POINTS OF ACCESS, PROVISION OF
FORMAL AND INFORMAL OPEN SPACE, ANCILLARY LANDSCAPING, CAR
PARKING AND HIGHWAY AND DRAINAGE WORKS, LAND TO THE EAST AND
WEST OF WARBURTON LANE, WARBURTON, WA13 9TT**

Local Authority Ref: 98031/OUT/19

Greater Manchester Archaeological Advisory Service

Archaeology Proof of Evidence Summary 08/09/2020

ON BEHALF OF TRAFFORD METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

FOR PUBLIC INQUIRY OCTOBER 2020

BY

BY NORMAN REDHEAD BA (Hons) MCIFA FSA

**GREATER MANCHESTER ARCHAEOLOGICAL ADVISORY SERVICE,
UNIVERSITY OF SALFORD, PEEL BUILDING, SALFORD, M5 4WX**

TEL: 0161 295 5522 e-mail: n.redhead@salford.ac.uk

September 2020

Norman Redhead Archaeological Proof of Evidence Summary (09/09/20)

1. GMAAS have described how the appellant's archaeological desk based assessment fails to provide enough information to understand the extent and significance of heritage assets with an archaeological interest or the impact of development proposals upon that significance. It has been demonstrated that the DBA is not robust and is not adequate for planning purposes.
2. GMAAS have demonstrated that there is potential for archaeological remains of various types and periods to be present within the appeal site. Those relating to the former Warburton medieval deer park and the Prehistoric/Romano-British periods could be of national importance and therefore of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments (invoking NPPF Footnote 63). A recent study for the GMSF New Carrington allocation, which includes the appeal site, supports this view describing the archaeological potential as highly significant.
3. GMAAS have shown that there has not been an adequate level of evaluation to determine the relative significance of heritage assets or the impact of development on that significance. Without further investigation it is impossible to form an appropriate archaeological mitigation strategy and therefore the development should not be allowed.