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1. Introduction

1.1. In preparing Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs), the Council is required to follow the procedures laid down in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, and its adopted Statement of Community Involvement 2015 (SCI).

1.2. There are a total of 21 Conservation Areas in Trafford. Historic England guidance sets out, designation is not sufficient to preserve and enhance these areas. Instead local authorities need to develop policies which clearly identify what features of the area should be preserved or enhanced and set out how this should be done.

1.3. Such assessments help to generate awareness and encourage local property owners to take the right sort of action. The appraisals also identify areas where enhancement through development may be desirable.

1.4. Through the production of these documents the Council will be able to manage change in their historic areas in a way that will preserve and enhance them.

1.5. The Conservation Area Appraisal was carried out by consultants during 2014.

1.6. This Consultation Statement contains a summary of which bodies and persons were invited to make representations and how they were invited to make representations.

2. Statement of Community Involvement Review

2.1. The Council reviewed its Statement of Community Involvement (2010) and adopted a revised version in October 2015. This sets out the process by which the Council will consult appropriate bodies in the course of drafting all documents that are part of the Local Plan.

3. Public Consultation

Conservation Area Appraisal Consultation

3.1. A copy of the Conservation Area Appraisal (CAA) was made available for a statutory 6 week public consultation from 29th June 2015 and 10th August 2015.

3.2. A wide range of stakeholders were consulted including landowners, all residents within any of the proposed changes to the Conservation Areas. Developers, key agencies and service providers, Parish Councils, Registered Providers and other interested parties. A full list of the consultees that were formally invited to comment on the document is available in Appendix 1.
3.3. In addition two drop-in sessions were arranged during the consultation period for people to come and discuss the appraisals with officers from the Council. These were held on:-

- 2nd July 2015 at Altrincham Town Hall
- 9th July 2015 at Devonshire Road Evangelical Church, Devonshire Road, Altrincham

3.4. A public notice was displayed in the 29th June 2015 issue of the Manchester Evening News and is available in Appendix 3.

3.5. The SPD and associated documentation was made available for inspection at the following locations:

- On the Council’s website: http://www.trafford.gov.uk
- Trafford libraries
- Access Trafford offices

3.6. Comments were invited in writing, no later than 10th August 2015, either by post to: Strategic Planning, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford, M32 0TH or alternatively by email to: strategic.planning@trafford.gov.uk.

3.7. Consultation responses and the identity of those making them are matters of public record and open to public scrutiny. Copies of the responses received to the consultation can be viewed on request to the Strategic Planning team, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford M32 0TH.

**Conservation Area Management Plan Consultation**

3.8. A copy of the Management Plan (CAMP) was made available for a statutory 6-week public consultation from 26th October 2015 and 07 December 2015. A wide range of stakeholders were consulted including landowners developers, key agencies and service providers, Parish Councils, Registered Providers and other interested parties. A full list of other consultees that were formally invited to comment on the document is available in Appendix 1.

3.9. In addition a drop-in session was arranged during the consultation period for people to come and discuss the Management Plan with officers from the Council. These were held on:-

- 12th November 2015 at the Swinging Bridge Restaurant, Trafford Boulevard, Urmston between 5 and 6pm.
3.10. A public notice was displayed in the 26th October 2015 issue of the Manchester Evening News and is available in Appendix 2.

3.11. The SPD and associated documentation was made available for inspection at the following locations:
- On the Council’s website: http://www.trafford.gov.uk
- Trafford libraries
- Access Trafford offices

3.12. Comments were invited in writing, no later than 07 December 2015, either by post to: Strategic Planning, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford, M32 0TH or alternatively by email to: strategic.planning@trafford.gov.uk.

3.13. Consultation responses and the identity of those making them are matters of public record and open to public scrutiny. Copies of the responses received to the consultation can be viewed on request to the Strategic Planning team, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford M32 0TH.

4. Consultation Responses and Main Issues

4.1. A total of 27 representations were received to the public consultation. A summary of these representations is available to view in table 4.1 below, together with the Council’s response to the points raised.

4.2. A notable number of comments were made, with general support for the policies. Peel’s representation supports the CAA and CAMP with a few suggestions to amend historical information, a request for more detailed reference to the planning application at Trafford Waters and wording changes to policies that recommend improvements to their assets to make it clear they are dependent on funding being available.

4.3. Historic England requested further clarity over the policies that make suggestions for land owners to improve buildings and overgrown areas in the Barton Upon Irwell CAMP

4.4. Other more general comments are summarised below:-

- The inclusion of further wording and rewording to better reflect national policy and legislation on dealing with positive contributing buildings and designated heritage assets, for example listed buildings
- The need for additional maps in the CAMPs to show positive contributing buildings identified in the CAAs
- Requests for clarity in the policies and suggestions for additional text covering historical information
• Request for the inclusion of a policy to cover street lighting in all CAMPs which specifies warm LED lights and appropriate column styles in CAs.

5. Main Changes to the SPD

5.1. Changes to the SPD have been made in response to the representations received:

• Addition of townscape analysis maps
• Minor corrections and additions to the general text
• The addition of and changes to the position in the text of photographs
• Changes to the text and policies to add more detail, improve clarity and ensure conformity with legislation and NPPF.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Person ID</th>
<th>Document Name</th>
<th>Summary Of Rep</th>
<th>Proposed Council Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Section 8 lists the key areas within the CAA which need to be addressed and improved. We request that any reference to the condition, operation and management of privately owned commercially operated infrastructure be removed.</td>
<td>Disagree with this suggestion. These elements are heritage assets and therefore their condition and commentary on how they are used are fundamental to understanding the special interest of the CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Amend Paragraph 6.3.3 to say: There is certainly capacity for improving various parts of the Conservation Area, such as the restoration of the Old School House and cottages if a viable long term use can be found, as well as improvements to the landscaping to Old Barton Road and Chapel Place to create a pleasant canal side walk. There is scope for further sensitively designed development in the area, provided it is of appropriate scale and takes account of the need for high quality landscaping and public realm.</td>
<td>Opportunities within the CA should be restricted to the restoration of the existing buildings or improvements to the public realm, or else significantly risk damaging the character of the CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>One overarching concern is the lack of reference to operational capacity of the Manchester Ship Canal.</td>
<td>text added to 4.4.10 &quot; However the operational capacity of the Ship Canal is still important today &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>Section 6.3 refers to Problems, Pressures and Capacity for Change. As the landowner and driver behind the Trafford Waters vision, we feel it unnecessary to categorise development as a problem. New development, such as Trafford Waters should be seen as positive force which can be to the benefit of Conservation Areas. We accept that there is pressure to grow, which will impose change, however, it is unnecessary and unwarranted for this to be categorised as a problem for Conservation. It is considered this has been misinterpreted. Development is not considered to be a problem that ought to be avoided; rather a pressure which is acknowledged in local planning policy and needs to be managed. Further detail on this will be in the Management Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>When considering the Barton Upon Irwell Management Plan, as the owners and operators of the Canals and adjoining land, The Peel Group stresses the importance of early engagement and consultation with us and other key stakeholders. Note. An initial draft of the Management Plan will be circulated to Peel and other key stakeholders, as appropriate, prior to full public consultation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>The description of the 19th Century Ecclesiastical Development (paragraphs 4.4.8 - 4.4.10) does not contain any reference to the de Trafford Family who funded the construction of the Church. Whilst this is referenced in paragraph 3.1.6, it should be carried forward and reiterated in later paragraphs where there is specific reference to the Church. Noted; wording added.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Paragraph 4.4.7 outlines the solutions considered by Williams when proposing the cutting of the Ship Canal. It is not the understanding of our Archivist that 'Williams had originally intended to build a fixed-high level aqueduct over the Irwell replicating the Anderton boat lift on the River Weaver'. The Anderton Boat Lift works by lowering or raising a boat from one water course to another, this is a completely different kind of structure to the fixed-high level aqueduct stated within your paragraph. Noted. Text deleted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Paragraph 3.6.1 outlines the significance of the Barton-Upon-Irwell Conservation Area. To ensure the CAA is accurate, the significance of the Bridgewater Canal is not as 'the first cut canal in the world', but it being the first true canal in England, independent from any natural waterway. Noted wording added as suggested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>When outlining the significance of the graveyard and the individuals buried there, paragraph 3.1.5 should include reference to Marshall Stevens later role as the General Manager of Trafford Park Estates. Noted. Reference added to 3.1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>The Peel Group are supportive of the Council's commitment to the retention of the conservation area and the importance which has been afforded to our assets. Noted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Aug-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>CAA Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>The statement regarding the construction material of the Aqueduct is incorrect, the Swing Aqueduct and Swing Road Bridge are made from Steel and not wrought iron as stated in Paragraph 4.8.14. Noted. Wording amended. However the HE listing details wrought iron, therefore, HE will be informed of the error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Avoid reference to &quot;good quality&quot; design (2.2.4) and instead refer to the words and policies used at S7 of the NPPF. Noted. Wording added to para 2.2.4 &quot;Design should take account of both Policy L7 of the Core Strategy and S7 of NPPF. &quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Consider a policy to undertake a landscape survey of the area to tackle overgrown spaces, self-seeded trees and to identify places requiring landscape or screening.</td>
<td>Wording added to Policy 19 to suggest this is undertaken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Consideration should be given to clarifying the policies within this CAMP. It should set out the range of physical repairs needed to buildings and structures in the area or include a policy to undertake a detailed survey within a given timetable and the consequences that would follow a lack of repairs being made.</td>
<td>Some wording has been amended to add timetables. However it is not considered possible to add more detail to the repairs needed without owners doing more survey work. Enforcement action would not be appropriate as the assets are either not listed or if they are they not in danger of being lost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>The aim of &quot;maintain&quot; the special interest of the conservation area should be more ambitious and be to &quot;enhance&quot; the area.</td>
<td>Agree. Word added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>The section &quot;Control measures brought about by law&quot; should clarify the law and national policy relating to the alteration, extension or demolition of designated heritage assets. A caveat should be included stating that some of the CAMP policies relating to the use of specific materials to protect local distinctiveness may not be applicable when dealing with designated heritage assets.</td>
<td>Wording added also to the suggested section in Appendix C - &quot;When applied to designated heritage assets such as listed buildings, legislation and national policy may take precedence over policies in local Conservation Area Management Plans&quot; Wording added also to the Planning section ( 1.5.4, 1.5.5) to reference the Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act ( 2013) to cover these points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Could a policy be included to set up an advisory committee or local action group where a conservation area does not have one.</td>
<td>This is not appropriate for this area as there are no residents living within the Conservation Area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Have you considered adding a section to each management plan setting out how policies will be funded by way of an action plan. This should be approved by members, ensuring that policies are prioritised and deliverable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>A target date for the production of the HAR strategy for conservation areas should be set in policy 5. This should include more about the strategy's content and overall objective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Dec-15</td>
<td>Historic England</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Recommendation of the rewording of the policies relating to the demolition and replacement development (policy 27) to better reflect paragraphs 132-134 in the NPPF. A map clearly indicating national designations and positive contributor buildings would also help the reader.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary Planning Document : Barton Upon Irwell Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan Consultation Statement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-Dec-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>The CAMP is too prescriptive and goes too far in imposing surveys, repairs, and works on buildings and operational infrastructure without the Council having engaged in direct discussions with the landowners and operators of said assets. Officers from the Council have met with Peel and a number of text amendments and Policy changes have been made to address this matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-Dec-15</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>Supportive of the importance being afforded to Peel's assets, however, have concerns over lack of reference to the operational status of Manchester Ship Canal. Concerned with the negative perception afforded to new, planned development within the vicinity of the conservation area, namely Trafford Waters, which have been positively received by English Heritage. Disappointing that these points have not been considered during the drafting of the CAMP. The operational status of assets has been added to the text. Text referring to Trafford Waters in 2.6.1 has been amended as suggested, to say “any development should be carefully managed so that the significance of the Conservation Area and its heritage assets is not overlooked or adversely affected” Rather than specifying that Trafford Waters is carefully managed so that the significance ....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th February 2016</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>In respect of the Barton Road Swing Bridge, Barton Swing Aqueduct &amp; Control Tower the CAMP needs to stress that these are operational assets critical to the ongoing operation of the statutory harbour and the ability for vessels to pass into the Upper Reaches of the Canal and are therefore maintained to ensure integrity of operation. Therefore there is objection to the inclusion of Policies 31, 32, 33 which require a full condition survey, areas of corrosion and disrepair should be rectified, and the establishment of a maintenance plan for all parties to follow. The text and Policy 34 incorrectly refers to a disused building which is again one of our operational assets. Wording has been changed to acknowledge the building at the end of the swing bridge is not disused in the main text and Policy 34 has been deleted. Policies 31,32 and 33 have been revised to recognise the assets heritage value is important and should not be weakened by lack of maintenance of the structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Document Version</td>
<td>Approval Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 11th February 2016 | Peel Holdings (Management) Limited | 1373 Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015 | Wording added to 3.7 as suggested by Purcells:-
<p>|               |                                |                        | “The bridge, aqueduct and control towers are still fully operational is hugely important to their heritage value as they have continually functioned as originally intended. Their on-going ability to operate as intended makes an important contribution to their significance, and regular maintenance is key to achieving this.” |
|               |                                |                        | <strong>Contents (2.7 &amp; 3.7)</strong> - for consistency “Barton Road Swing Bridge, Barton Swing Aqueduct &amp; Control Tower”. |
|               |                                |                        | Wording amended as suggested                                                   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contributor</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Consultation Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11th February 2016</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Para. 1.2.1 - ought to read......“The structures are also part of the wider industrial landscape of The Manchester Ship Canal and Bridgewater Canal”. Wording amended as suggested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th February 2016</td>
<td>Peel Holdings (Management) Limited</td>
<td>CAMP Barton-upon-Irwell Draft-2015</td>
<td>Request that wording be deleted from 2.5.2 - Substantial extension or remodelling would not be appropriate. Demolition is not recommended as these are the only surviving examples of the residential terraces in Chapel Place. Wording as suggested is not considered appropriate as these properties do have historical value. However wording has been altered to: - Substantial extension or demolition is not recommended as these are the only surviving examples of the residential terraces in Chapel Place. It should also be noted wording exists that says demolition would be allowed if the public benefits of a demolition substantially outweigh the negative impact on the Conservation Area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1 – List of Consultees

Duty to Cooperate Bodies:

- Association of Greater Manchester Authorities;
- Cheshire East Council;
- Civil Aviation Authority;
- Environment Agency;
- Greater Manchester Combined Authority;
- Greater Manchester Local Enterprise Partnership;
- Greater Manchester Local Nature Partnership;
- Historic England;
- Homes and Communities Agency;
- Manchester City Council;
- Natural England;
- NHS England;
- Office of Rail Regulation;
- Salford City Council;
- Trafford Clinical Commissioning Group;
- Trafford Local Highways Authority;
- Transport for Greater Manchester;
- Warrington Council;

Specific Consultation Bodies:

The following Specific Consultation Bodies will be consulted as a matter of course on all GMSF, Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan documents and, where relevant, Supplementary Planning and Other Planning Documents.

Please note: This list also relates to successor bodies where re-organisations occur.

- Agden Parish Council
- Ashley Parish Council
- Carrington Parish Council
- Cheshire East Council;
- Dunham Massey Parish Council
- Environment Agency
- Greater Manchester Combined Authority;
- GM Local Enterprise Partnership
- Highways England;
- Historic England;
- Homes and Communities Agency (HCA)
- Little Bollington Parish Meeting
- Lymm Parish Council
- Manchester City Council;
- Natural England
- Network Rail Infrastructure Limited
- NHS England
- Partington Town Council
- Rixton with Glazebrook Parish Council
- Rostherne Parish Council
- Salford City Council
- The Coal Authority
- The Marine Management Organisation
• Warburton Parish Council
• Warrington Council;
• Any person to whom the electronic communications code applies and who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus within the Borough e.g. Mobile Operators Association, Hutchinson 3G, etc.
• A person to whom a licence has been granted under the Electricity Act 1989 e.g. Electricity NW Ltd;
• A person to whom a licence has been granted under the Gas Act 1986 e.g. National Grid
• A sewerage undertaker e.g. United Utilities
• A water undertaker e.g. United Utilities

General Consultation Bodies

The following General Consultation Bodies will be consulted as appropriate on all GMSF, Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan documents and, where relevant, Supplementary Planning and Other Planning Documents.

• Voluntary bodies, some or all of whose activities benefit the Borough
• Bodies representing the interests of different racial, ethnic or national groups within the Borough
• Bodies representing the interests of different religious groups in the Borough
• Bodies representing the interests of disabled persons in the Borough
• Bodies representing the interests of persons carrying on business in the Borough

Other Consultation Bodies

The following groups/organisations will be consulted on GMSF, Local Plan, Neighbourhood, Supplementary and Other Planning Documents and Planning Applications as and when this is deemed to be appropriate by the Council in relation to the subject matter and their area of interest. 

Please note: This list is not exhaustive and will be amended as and when it is necessary to do so.

• Adactus Housing Group;
• Altrincham and Bowdon Civic Society;
• Altrincham and Sale Chamber of Commerce;
• Altrincham Forward;
• Altrincham Town Centre Business Neighbourhood Forum;
• Ancient Monuments Society;
• Arawak Walton Housing Association;
• Arriva Bus;
• Arriva Trains Wales;
• Association of Greater Manchester Authorities (AGMA) Joint Units;
• Bowdon Conservation Group;
• British Chemical Distributors and Traders Association;
• British Energy Association
• British Gas
• British Geological Survey;
• British Telecommunications PLC
• British Waterways
• Campaign for Better Transport;
• Campaign for Real Ale;
• Centre for Ecology and Hydrology;
• Cheshire Wildlife Trust;
• Church Commissioners;
• Citizens Advice Bureau
• Civic Trust;
• Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment at the Design Council;
• Commission for Equality and Human Rights;
• Council for British Archaeology
• Council for the Protection of Rural England;
• Crown Estate Office;
• Department for Business Innovation & Skills;
• Department for Culture, Media and Sport;
• Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs;
• Department for Transport;
• Department of Health;
• Department of Work and Pensions;
• Diocesan Board of Finance;
• Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee;
• Fields in Trust;
• First Group PLC;
• First TransPennine Express;
• Forestry Commission;
• Freight Transport Association;
• Friends of the Earth;
• Garden History Society;
• Georgian Group;
• Greater Manchester Archaeological Advisory Service;
• Greater Manchester Chamber of Commerce;
• Greater Manchester Ecology Unit;
• Greater Manchester Fire and Rescue Service
• Minerals and Waste Unit;
• Greater Manchester Police
• Greater Manchester Waste Disposal Authority
• Great Places Housing Group;
• Gypsy Council
• Hale Civic Society;
• Health and Safety Executive
• Home Builders Federation
• Home Office
• Irwell Valley Housing Association;
• Lancashire Wildlife Trust;
• Lead Local Flood Authority;
• Living Streets;
• Local businesses;
• Major land/property owners
• Manchester Airport;
• Manchester Barton Aerodrome;
• Manchester Ship Canal Company;
• Ministry of Defence;
• Ministry of Justice;
• NASSEA;
• National Trust;
• Network Rail;
• New Economy;
• Northern Trains;
• Peel Ports;
• Renewable Energy Association
• Road Haulage Association;
• Royal Mail Property Group
Royal Society for the Protection of Birds;
Sale Civic Society;
Society for Protection of Ancient Buildings;
Sport England;
St Vincent’s Housing Association;
Stagecoach Bus;
Stretford M32 Group;
The Rail Freight Group;
The Showmen’s Guild of Great Britain
The Theatres Trust;
Timperley Civic Society;
Trafford Cycle Forum;
Trafford Housing Trust;
Trafford Partnership & Locality Partnerships
Trafford Ramblers;
Transport for Greater Manchester;
Traveller Law Reform Project;
Twentieth Century Society;
Voluntary Community Action Trafford;
Women’s National Commission;
Woodford Aerodrome;
Woodland Trust;
Appendix 2 – Local Advertisement – Conservation Management Plan

TRAFFORD BOROUGH COUNCIL

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
Localism Act 2011

The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Supplementary Planning Document – Regulation 12 Public Participation

Proposed titles of the Documents
- Conservation Area Management Plan - Barton Upon Irwell - Consultation Draft
- Conservation Area Management Plan - Old Market Place - Consultation Draft
- Conservation Area Management Plan - South Hale - Consultation Draft
- Conservation Area Management Plan - Stamford New Road - Consultation Draft

Proposed subject matter of the Documents
The proposed Conservation Area Management Plans support the Conservation Area Appraisals providing more detail on historic features and setting out proposals for the preservation and enhancement of specific parts of the conservation areas to manage future change.

Notification of Adoption of the Documents
Any representations may be accompanied by a request to be notified at a specified address of the approval of the documents / adoption of the Supplementary Planning Documents.

Availability of documents: All the Draft Documents are available for public inspection at all public libraries/Access Trafford offices in Trafford, during normal opening hours. For more information about location and opening hours go to: http://www.trafford.gov.uk/leisureandculture/libraries/librariesintrafford/

The documents can also be found on the Council's website: www.trafford.gov.uk. If needed, summary material can be made available in large print, Braille and other languages. For further information please contact the Planning helpline on 0161 912 3149.

Address to which representations must be sent: Written representations should be submitted to Planning Services, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford, M320TH Tel:01619123149 (e-mail: strategic.planning@trafford.gov.uk).

Period in which representations may be made: Written representations may be made between 9:00am Monday 26th October 2015 and 5:00 p.m. Monday 7th December 2015.

Stephen James
Head of Strategic Growth
Appendix 2 – Local Advertisement – Conservation Area Appraisal

TRAFFORD BOROUGH COUNCIL

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
Localism Act 2011

The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Supplementary Planning Document – Regulation 12 Public Participation

Proposed titles of the Documents
Revised Trafford Statement of Community Involvement – Consultation Draft;
Review of Planning Guidance in Trafford – Consultation Document;
Conservation Area Appraisal – Ashley Heath - Consultation Draft
Conservation Area Appraisal – Barton-Upon-Irwell - Consultation Draft
Conservation Area Appraisal – Bowdon - Consultation Draft
Conservation Area Appraisal – Devisdale - Consultation Draft
Conservation Area Appraisal – Hale Station - Consultation Draft
Conservation Area Appraisal – Linotype Consultation Draft and Management Plan
Conservation Area Appraisal - Sandiway - Consultation Draft
Conservation Area Appraisal - South Hale - Consultation Draft

Proposed subject matter of the Documents

- The proposed revised Trafford Statement of Community Involvement sets out how and when the community in Trafford will be involved in the preparation of a Local Plan and other planning documents and how they will be consulted on planning applications.
- The Review of Planning Guidance in Trafford sets out the scope of a proposed review, including details of how the Council will update or replace existing guidance and produce new guidance for development proposals in the Borough;
- The proposed Advertisements, Signage and Shop Fronts Supplementary Planning Document sets out and explains the Council’s requirements and expectations in terms of design, location and positioning of adverts, signage and shop fronts across the Borough, in the interests of creating and maintaining high quality commercial and residential environments;
- The proposed conservation area appraisals provide an assessment of special interest; an audit of heritage assets; an assessment of condition and; set out a plan for further action. Additionally, the proposed conservation areas identify the proposed boundary for each of the conservation areas and Linotype Management Plan proposes development management policies.

Notification of Adoption of the Documents
Any representations may be accompanied by a request to be notified at a specified address of the approval of the documents / adoption of the Supplementary Planning Documents.

Availability of documents: All the Draft Documents are available for public inspection at all public libraries/Access Trafford offices in Trafford, during normal opening hours. For
more information about location and opening hours go to: 
http://www.t Trafford.gov.uk/leisureandculture/libraries/librariesintrafford/

The documents, including the comments form, can also be found on the Council’s website: www.t Trafford.gov.uk. If needed, summary material can be made available in large print, Braille and other languages. For further information please contact the Planning helpline on 0161 912 3149.

Address to which representations must be sent: Written representations should be submitted to Planning Services, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford, M32 0TH Tel: 0161 912 3149 (e-mail: strategic.planning@trafford.gov.uk).

Period in which representations may be made: Written representations may be made between 9:00am Monday 29th June 2015 and 5:00 p.m Monday 10th August 2015.

Rob Haslam Head of Planning