

Appendix Q

Historic Environment

Historic Environment Policy Options	
Option 1	Identify Conservation Areas, Historic Parks and Gardens and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on the Policies Map and encourage the protection and enhancement of these areas. Provide encouragement for appropriate proposals to bring into use heritage assets that are on the “at risk” register.
Option 2	Do nothing

Historic Environment Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

Historic Environment Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 1 would provide support for the protection and enhancement of historic assets that make a contribution to sense of place and community identity. As such, this option would have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. The alternative option would not provide the same level of encouragement the re-use of historic assets and is therefore unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 1 would provide support for the protection and enhancement of Historic Parks and Gardens and could therefore have some positive impact on health by providing opportunities for sport and/or recreation. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as the protection of historic parks and gardens does not guarantee that local residents will choose to use these areas for sport and/or recreation. By contrast, Option 2 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 1 provides protection to Historic Parks and Gardens and encourages the enhancement of these areas. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of protecting and enhancing open space and could also have a positive effect on the sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. By contrast, Option 2 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Historic Environment Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	More than local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium		Long term		
	Option 1 would provide protection to Conservation Areas, Historic Parks and Gardens and Scheduled Ancient Monuments and would also encourage appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the ‘at risk’ register. It would therefore have the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to protecting and enhancing conservation areas and protecting and enhancing registered historic parks and gardens. By contrast, Option 2 would not afford the same levels of protection to these assets or provide encouragement for the re-use of heritage assets included on the ‘at risk’ register. Option 2 therefore has the potential to have a negative impact on the objective.								

Historic Environment Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.	
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective. Option 1 therefore has the potential to have some positive impact on this objective but it is acknowledged that there is only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions. By contrast, Option 2 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.	
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 1 would seek to protect and enhance conservation areas and would provide encouragement for appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the 'at risk' register. As noted in the supporting text to the policy, there are presently five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being 'at risk' by English Heritage. Three of these conservation areas (Old Market Place, George Street and Stamford New Road) are within/adjacent to Altrincham town centre. Consequently, Option 1 could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and therefore help to support the long term sustainability of this centre. By contrast, Option 2 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the historic environment is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

Historic Environment Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>Option 1 would have the potential to have a positive impact on a number of sustainability objectives. In particular, by providing support for the protection and enhancement of heritage assets and by encouraging the appropriate re-use of heritage assets that are included on the 'at risk' register, this option could have a major positive impact on the objective relating to townscape character and on its sub-objectives concerned with protecting and enhancing conservation areas and protecting and enhancing registered historic parks and gardens. Option 1 would also have the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives relating to health; open space; and community welfare. By contrast, Option 2 would have the potential to have some negative impact on the objective relating to townscape character and would have no significant impact on the other objectives.</p>									
<p>There are five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being 'at risk' by English Heritage, three of which are within/adjoin Altrincham town centre. Option 1 would encourage the re-use of historic assets in these areas and would also seek to protect and enhance Conservation Areas. This option could therefore have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and help to support the long term sustainability of this centre. Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore also have some positive impact on the objective relating to Trafford's economic performance. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions. Option 2 would be unlikely to have a significant impact on either of these objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

HE1 – Conservation Areas	
Option 1	Identify Conservation Areas as defined by the UDP
Option 2	Identify Conservation Areas as defined by the UDP and extend nine of these Conservation Areas

Policy HE1 – Conservation Areas									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending Conservation Areas could place some additional restrictions on development in certain parts of the Borough. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Conservation Areas are unlikely to have a significant impact on housing delivery in the Borough. It is therefore considered that both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		

Policy HE1 – Conservation Areas									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of Conservation Areas and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. By providing a greater level of protection to additional historic buildings and features, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon biodiversity, flora or fauna. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Policy HE1 – Conservation Areas									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
consumption and production	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	More than local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium		Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect 21 Conservation Areas within the Borough. The proposed policy that relates to Conservation Areas requires development in these areas to be of a high standard and states that buildings of quality within these areas should be retained and, where appropriate, improved. Both options therefore have the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective relating to protecting and enhancing conservation areas. However, as option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features and townscapes it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective. In addition, it is recognised that incorporating historic buildings within a Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to protect and enhance the historic asset. Accordingly, Option 2 has the potential to have a major significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending Conservation Areas could place some additional restrictions on development in certain parts of the Borough. Nevertheless, the extensions proposed to each of the Conservation Areas are unlikely to impact on the key locations for economic development in the Borough and are therefore unlikely to significantly affect economic growth. In addition, protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.								
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Policy HE1 – Conservation Areas									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The proposed policy on Conservation Areas requires new development in these areas to be of a high standard and states that buildings of quality within these areas should be retained and, where appropriate, improved. A significant proportion of Altrincham town centre is within/adjoins a conservation area and both options therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the sustainability of Altrincham town centre by ensuring that new development respects and enhances the character and quality of the area.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
<p>Both of the proposed options relating to conservation areas would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. Both options would identify and protect 21 Conservation Areas within the Borough and would require new development in these areas to be of a high standard and would require buildings of quality within these areas to be retained and, where appropriate, improved. Both options therefore have the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to townscape character and some positive impact on the objective that relates to community identity. However, as option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features and townscapes, it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective that relates to townscape character and there is a greater level of certainty that it would have a positive impact on the objective that relates to community identity.</p> <p>Given that a significant proportion of Altrincham town centre is within/adjacent to a conservation area, both options could have some positive impact on the objective of encouraging the long term sustainability of Trafford's town centres. In addition, protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest. Both options could therefore also have some positive impact on the objective relating to Trafford's economic performance. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>									

Ashley Heath Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the open space to the south of Ashley Mill Lane North (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include Woodhatch, The Ridge and Owl Pen along the south side of York Drive, Carremore and York Cottage to the north side of York Drive and nos. 51, 53, 55 and 60 South Downs Road (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include the Church of St Emilie, the Convent of St Emilie, the Lady of the Vale Nursing Home and the Lodge and areas of open space to the north and south of the Convent (Proposed Boundary Extension C); and • Re-draw the boundary to exclude Blenheim Close.

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the Ashley Heath Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions A and C comprises of land that is within the Green Belt and which is identified by Core Strategy Policy R2 and on UDP Proposals Map ENV17 as an area where landscape character would be protected. In addition, the majority of this area is designated as a wildlife corridor and other sections are either within Flood Zones 2 or 3a or form part of the Ashley Heath Woods SBI. As a result, this land is unlikely to come forward for housing development even if it was not included in the Conservation Area and the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on housing delivery in the Borough. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of Ashley Heath Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide an area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. In particular, proposed extension B includes two listed buildings and the proposed boundary extension C includes the Lady of the Vale Nursing Home, Lodge and Church of St Emilie which the Ashley Heath Conservation Area appraisal lists as making a positive contribution to the character of the area. The proposed boundary extensions A and C would also provide additional protection to areas of open space that contribute to the sense of place and which provide a number of key views both in and out of the existing Conservation Area. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact upon biodiversity, flora or fauna. Two of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (proposed extensions A and C) would result in a designated SBI and an area of land that forms part of a wildlife corridor being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to these areas. As such, Option 2 has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective of protecting biodiversity, flora and fauna. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are other policies in the Local Plan that will already protect the biodiversity value of these areas.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. Two of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (A and C) would result in a large greenfield area being included within the Conservation Area which may reduce the likelihood of this area being released for development. As such, Option 2 has the potential to have some positive impact on this objective. Nevertheless, much of this land is within the Green Belt and is also identified by Core Strategy Policy R2 and on UDP Proposals Map ENV17 as an area where landscape character would be protected. In addition, the majority of this area is designated as a wildlife corridor and other sections are either within Flood Zones 2 or 3a or form part of the Ashley Heath Woods SBI. As a result, this land may be unlikely to come forward for development irrespective of whether or not it is included in the Conservation Area. As such, there is only a low level of certainty that Option 2 would have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect the Ashley Heath Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Although Option 2 would remove an area of land from the current Conservation Area boundary, this area contains modern housing which the Ashley Heath Conservation Area Appraisal considers to be intrusive to the Conservation Area due to the choice of materials and poor quality, unsympathetic design.								
	Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. In particular, proposed extension B includes two listed buildings and the proposed boundary extension C includes the Lady of the Vale Nursing Home, Lodge and Church of St Emilie which the Ashley Heath Conservation Area appraisal lists as making a positive contribution to the character of the area. The proposed boundary extensions A and C would also provide additional protection to areas of open space that contributes to the character and setting of the Conservation Area. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features, townscapes and open spaces it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending the Ashley Heath Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions A and C comprises of land that is within the Green Belt and which is identified by Core Strategy Policy R2 and on UDP Proposals Map ENV17 as an area where landscape character would be protected. In addition, the majority of this area is designated as a wildlife corridor and other sections are either within Flood Zones 2 or 3a or form part of the Ashley Heath Woods SBI. As a result, this land is unlikely to come forward for economic development even if it was not included in the Conservation Area. By protecting buildings and features of historic interest both options could however help to create/retain attractive places to live and invest in the Borough which may have some positive impact on the objective. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The Ashley Heath Conservation Area is not located in particularly close proximity to one of Trafford's town centres and neither of the proposed options is likely to have a significant impact on the long-term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
<p>The choice of boundary option for the Ashley Heath Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of Ashley Heath Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area and would also provide protection to areas of open space that contribute to the sense of place and which provide a number of key views both in and out of the existing Conservation Area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p> <p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting landscape and townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of historic buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area and would also provide protection to areas of open space that contribute to the character and setting of the Conservation Area. Two of the extensions to the Conservation Area proposed by Option 2 (extensions A and C) would result in a designated SBI and an area of land that forms part of a wildlife corridor being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to these areas. As such, Option 2 could also have some positive impact on the objective of protecting biodiversity, flora and fauna. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are other policies in the Local Plan that will already protect the biodiversity value of these areas. Option 1 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on this objective. Both options would have the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of enhancing Trafford's economic performance. Option 2 could also have some positive effect on the objective of conserving land resources.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Bowdon Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the area to the north of Stamford Road (but excluding the three houses on the corner of Cavendish Road and Ashley Road), west of Ashley Road and south of Cavendish Road (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include Bowdon Cricket Club, the houses on the west side of Grange Road down to York Road, 69 – 73 South Downs Road and the area between South Downs Road and Langham Road (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include the area to the south west of Vale Road (Proposed Boundary Extension C); and • Re-draw the boundary to exclude three modern houses on the north side of Ledyard Close.

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the Bowdon Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of areas of existing residential development and areas of open space that are already protected by Core Strategy Policy R5. As a result, this land is unlikely to come forward for housing development even if it was not included in the Conservation Area and the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on housing delivery in the Borough. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of Bowdon Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including a number of Arts and Crafts houses, substantial Victorian Gothic and Queen Anne-style houses in proposed extension area A, a 19 th Century cricket pavilion in the proposed extension area B, and two historic buildings and a number of mid 19 th Century houses in classical style in proposed extension area C. In particular, the Conservation Area Appraisal for Bowdon identifies a significant number of buildings in each of these areas as making a positive contribution to the character of the area and lists the Clubhouse and Lychgate for Bowdon Bowling & Tennis Club in extension area A, the Bowdon Cricket Club pavilion in extension area B and the Soap Cottage in extension area C as possible nominations for a future of Locally Important Buildings. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Potential secondary impacts on health	

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact upon biodiversity, flora or fauna. One of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (proposed extension B) would result in a cricket ground being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to this area of open space and could therefore have some positive effect on the element of the objective that relates to protecting open space. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are other policies in the Local Plan that already protect such areas of open space from development.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Potential secondary impacts on health	
	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. One of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (proposed extension B) would result in a cricket ground being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to this area of open space and could therefore have some positive effect on the element of the objective that relates to conserving land resources. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are other policies in the Local Plan that already protect such areas of open space from development.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Both options would identify and protect the Bowdon Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Although Option 2 would remove an area of land from the current Conservation Area boundary, this area contains three modern houses that do not make a significant contribution to the special interest of the Conservation Area. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. In particular, the proposed boundary extension A would result in a number of Arts and Crafts houses, substantial Victorian Gothic and Queen Anne-style houses being included within the Conservation Area, the proposed extension B would lead to the incorporation of a 19 th Century cricket pavilion and proposed extension area C contains two historic buildings and a number of mid 19 th Century houses. In particular, the Conservation Area Appraisal for Bowdon identifies a significant number of buildings in each of these areas as making a positive contribution to the character of the area and lists the Clubhouse and Lychgate for Bowdon Bowling & Tennis Club in extension area A, the Bowdon Cricket Club pavilion in extension area B and the Soap Cottage in extension area C as possible nominations for a future of Locally Important Buildings. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features, townscapes and open spaces it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending the Bowdon Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of areas of existing residential development and areas of open space that are already protected by Core Strategy Policy R5. As a result, this land is unlikely to come forward for significant economic development even if it was not included in the Conservation Area. By protecting buildings and features of historic interest both options could however help to create/retain attractive places to live and invest in the Borough which may have some positive impact on the objective. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The Bowdon Conservation Area is located in relatively close proximity to the Hale District Centre. Nevertheless, it is considered that the choice of boundary option for the Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the long-term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.								
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
and environmental performance of the economy	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
<p>The choice of boundary option for the Bowdon Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p> <p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting landscape and townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of historic buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area, including a number of Arts and Crafts houses, substantial Victorian Gothic and Queen Anne-style houses in proposed extension area A, a 19th Century cricket pavilion in the proposed extension area B, and two historic buildings and a number of mid 19th Century houses in classical style in proposed extension area C. One of the extensions to the Conservation Area proposed by Option 2 (extension B) would result in a cricket ground being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to this area of open space and could therefore have some positive effect on the objectives that relate to protecting open space and conserving land resources. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are other policies in the Local Plan that already protect such areas of open space from development. Option 1 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on these objectives. Both options would have the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of enhancing Trafford's economic performance.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

George Street Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the buildings along the east side of George Street, inclusive of nos. 3-13 Shaw's Road and nos. 10-18 Regent Street (Proposed Boundary Extension A); and • Include nos. 2a and 2b Cross Street and extensions to no. 69 George Street (Proposed Boundary Extension B).

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the George Street Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing commercial development within Altrincham town centre. These areas are therefore unlikely to come forward for significant housing development irrespective of whether or not they are included within the Conservation Area. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of George Street Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area and, as a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Improved perceptions of the area	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	The George Street Conservation Area is included in the English Heritage Conservation Areas at Risk Register. The Conservation Area Appraisal for George Street notes that this is partly due to unsympathetic alterations and additions, the poor quality of shop fronts, the impact of unsympathetic advertisements and the loss of traditional features and architectural details. Both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective as including these areas within a Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to address some of these negative factors. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that the inclusion of an area within the Conservation Area does not guarantee that funding will be obtained to improve it.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon conserving land resources and reducing land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect the George Street Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, this option has the potential to have a more significant positive impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending the George Street Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, protecting the special interest of this area could enhance the image of Altrincham town centre and ensure that it remains an attractive place to visit and invest in. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions and shopping patterns.								

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would protect the special interest of this area and could therefore enhance the image of Altrincham town centre. Given that Altrincham is the principal town centre in the Borough, both options have the potential to have some positive impact on Trafford's image as a tourism destination.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	The George Street Conservation Area is located entirely within Altrincham town centre. The choice of boundary for the Conservation Area could have some implications for development in the area and significantly extending conservation area boundaries in a town centre can restrict development opportunities. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area largely cover areas that already contain commercial development and it is recognised that protecting the special interest of the area could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and a positive effect on the long term sustainability of the centre. This is particularly important given that the George Street Conservation Area Appraisal notes that around a sixth of the buildings in the Conservation Area and proposed extension are currently vacant in both the ground floor shop and the upper floors. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. Nevertheless, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Accordingly, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
<p>The choice of boundary option for the George Street Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would protect the special interest of the area and could therefore have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives that relate to Trafford's economic performance; the image of Trafford as a tourism destination; and the long term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.</p>									
<p>Both options would help to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective. Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area.</p>									
<p>The George Street Conservation Area is included in the English Heritage Conservation Areas at Risk Register. The Conservation Area Appraisal for George Street notes that this is partly due to the unsympathetic alterations and additions, the poor quality of shop fronts, the impact of unsympathetic advertisements and the loss of traditional features and architectural details. Both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to improving local neighbourhood quality as including these areas within a Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to address some of these negative factors. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that the inclusion of an area within the Conservation Area does not guarantee that funding will be obtained to improve it.</p>									
<p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Goose Green Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the bridge to the south east of the existing Conservation Area (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include the green space to the north east of the existing Conservation Area (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include the structure to the south of no. 22 Back Grafton Street (Proposed Boundary Extension C); • Remove no. 2 Goose Green from the Stamford New Road Conservation Area and include within the Goose Green Conservation Area; and • Re-draw the boundary so that it is aligned to include the new development, nos. 15-20 Goose Green.

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the Goose Green Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in the area. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are all modest in scale and, as a result, are unlikely to have a significant impact on housing delivery in the Borough. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of Goose Green Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including the bridge to the south east of the Conservation Area. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Improved perceptions of the area	
	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. Option 2 would result in the structure to the south of the garage premises to Back Grafton Street being included within the Conservation Area (proposed extension area C). This structure is thought to date from the end of the 19 th Century but, as noted in the Goose Green Conservation Area Appraisal, it is currently vacant and in a state of dereliction. Incorporating this structure within the Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to enhance this building which would have a positive impact on local neighbourhood quality. Option 2 therefore has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that including this structure within the Conservation Area does not guarantee that funding will be obtained to improve it.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact upon biodiversity, flora or fauna. One of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (proposed extension B) would result in an area of green space being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to this area of green space and could therefore have some positive effect on the element of the objective that relates to protecting open space.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon conserving land resources and reducing land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect the Goose Green Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including the bridge to the south east of the Conservation Area. Consequently, Option 2 has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending the Goose Green Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are modest in scale and are unlikely to have a significant impact on economic development. In addition, protecting the special interest of this area could enhance the image of Altrincham town centre and ensure that it remains an attractive place to visit and invest in. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions and shopping patterns.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The Conservation Area is entirely within Altrincham town centre. Both options would protect the special interest of this area and could therefore enhance the image of Altrincham town centre. Given that Altrincham is the principal town centre in the Borough, both options have the potential to have some positive impact on Trafford's image as a tourism destination.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	The Goose Green Conservation Area is located entirely within Altrincham town centre. The choice of boundary for the Conservation Area could have some implications for development in the area and significantly extending conservation area boundaries in a town centre can restrict development opportunities. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Goose Green Conservation Area are minor in scale and it is recognised that protecting the special interest of this area could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and a positive effect on the long term sustainability of the centre. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. Nevertheless, as Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including the bridge to the south east of the Conservation Area, there is a greater level of certainty that it would have a positive impact on this objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
<p>The choice of boundary option for the Goose Green Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including the bridge to the south east of the Conservation Area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p>									
<p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area. Option 2 would also result in an area of green space being incorporated into the Conservation Area and could therefore have some positive effect on the objective that relates to protecting open space. By contrast, Option 1 would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective. In addition, Option 2 would result in the structure to the south of the garage premises to Back Grafton Street being included within the Conservation Area. As noted in the Goose Green Conservation Area Appraisal, this structure is currently vacant and in a state of dereliction. Incorporating this structure within the Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to enhance this building and, as a result, Option 2 could also have a positive impact on the objective of improving local neighbourhood quality.</p>									
<p>By protecting the special interest of this area, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre. Both options could therefore have a positive effect on the objectives of enhancing Trafford's economic performance, Trafford's image as a tourism destination; and encouraging the long term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.</p>									
<p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Old Market Place Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the northern section of Church Walk, gate posts to the former Townfield House, Beech Hurst, Groombridge House and the historic buildings adjoining St George's churchyard (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include both sides of Victoria Street, the north side of Stamford Street, the buildings on the north east sides of Stamford Street and the structures on both sides of Springfield Road (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include nos. 10-22 Shaw's Road (Proposed Boundary Extension C); • Include no. 28 High Street (Proposed Boundary Extension D); • Include nos. 1-8 Groby Road, The Knowles and Stoneleigh on Dunham Road and Doonfoot on Regent Road (Proposed Boundary Extension E); • Include the properties on the north west side of Groby Place (Proposed Boundary Extension F); and • Include the properties on the south side of Townfield Road and the east side of Richmond Road (Proposed Boundary Extension G).

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the Old Market Place Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing development and the Conservation Area Appraisal for the Old Market Place highlights that there are few opportunities for new development either within the existing Conservation Area or the proposed extensions. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Old Market Place Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. For instance, the Old Market Place Conservation Area Appraisal identifies a series of buildings in each of the proposed extension areas that make a positive contribution to the character of the area and notes that proposed extension area G contains numerous structures that have retained a high level of historic character, including the 19 th Century residences along The Mount and Richmond Road. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Improved perceptions of the area	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	The Old Market Place Conservation Area is included in the English Heritage Conservation Areas at Risk Register. The Conservation Area Appraisal for the Old Market Place notes that this is partly due to the unsympathetic alterations or additions, the poor quality shop fronts, the impact of unsympathetic signs and advertisements and the loss of traditional features. Both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective as including these areas within a Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to address some of these negative factors. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that the inclusion of an area within the Conservation Area does not guarantee that funding will be obtained to improve it.								

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon conserving land resources and reducing land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense	

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Both options would identify and protect the Old Market Place Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. For instance, the Old Market Place Conservation Area Appraisal identifies a series of buildings in each of the proposed extension areas that make a positive contribution to the character of the area and notes that proposed extension area G contains numerous structures that have retained a high level of historic character, including the 19 th Century residences along The Mount and Richmond Road. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features and townscapes it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending the Old Market Place Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing development and the Conservation Area Appraisal for the Old Market Place highlights that there are few opportunities for new development either within the existing Conservation Area or the proposed extensions. In addition, protecting the special interest of this area, particularly the south and eastern parts of the Conservation Area, could enhance the image of Altrincham town centre and ensure that it remains an attractive place to visit and invest in. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions and shopping patterns.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The Conservation Area is partly within Altrincham town centre and forms a key gateway into the centre. Both options would protect the special interest of this area and could therefore enhance the image of Altrincham town centre. Given that Altrincham is the principal town centre in the Borough, both options have the potential to have some positive impact on Trafford's image as a tourism destination.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
Trafford's Town Centres	The Conservation Area is partly within Altrincham town centre. The choice of boundary for the Conservation Area could have some implications for development in the area and significantly extending conservation area boundaries in a town centre can restrict development opportunities. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area largely cover areas that are outside of the town centre and it is recognised that protecting the special interest of the part of the Conservation Area that is within the town centre could have a positive impact on perceptions of the centre and a positive effect on the long term sustainability of the centre. This is particularly important given that the Conservation Area Appraisal notes that there are a number of the buildings in the Conservation Area and proposed extensions are currently vacant or partially vacant. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. Nevertheless, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Accordingly, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
<p>The choice of boundary option for the Old Market Place Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. In particular, the Old Market Place Conservation Area Appraisal identifies a series of buildings in each of the proposed extension areas that make a positive contribution to the character of the area and notes that proposed extension area G contains numerous structures that have retained a high level of historic character, including the 19th Century residences along The Mount and Richmond Road. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective. Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting landscape and townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of historic buildings, features and townscape that contribute to the character of the area.</p> <p>The southern and eastern parts of the Conservation Area are within Altrincham town centre. Both options would protect the special interest of the area and could therefore have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives that relate to Trafford's economic performance; the image of Trafford as a tourism destination; and the long term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.</p> <p>The Old Market Place Conservation Area is included in the English Heritage Conservation Areas at Risk Register. Both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective as including these areas within a Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to address some of these negative factors. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that the inclusion of an area within the Conservation Area does not guarantee that funding will be obtained to improve it.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

South Hale Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include all structures along Ollerbarrow Road (with the exception of two late 20th Century properties to the south end of the street), nos. 129-135 Hale Road, nos. 1-11 along the west side of Queens Road and nos. 127-133 along the west side of Claremont Grove (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include the properties along both sides of Bower Road up to no. 134 (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include nos. 8 and 24 Warwick Drive (Proposed Boundary Extension C); • Include nos. 61-73 Park Road in between Appleton Road and Lindop Road (Proposed Boundary Extension D); • Include nos. 29 and 31 Arthog Road (Proposed Boundary Extension E); • Include nos. 217, 219 and 221 Hale Road and no. 2 Egerton Road (Proposed Boundary Extension F); • Include St Peter's Assembly Rooms on Cecil Road (Proposed Boundary Extension G); and • Re-draw the boundary to exclude nos. 7-15 Riddings Road.

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the South Hale Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing dwellings. The proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on housing delivery in the Borough. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of South Hale Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including several which are very similar in age, materials and style to those that are already included in the Conservation Area. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon conserving land resources and reducing land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect the South Hale Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Although Option 2 would remove an area of land from the current Conservation Area boundary, this area contains a number of mid-late 20 th Century housing. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including several which are very similar in age, materials and style to those that are already included in the Conservation Area. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features, townscapes and open spaces it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job	

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	creation and prosperity.	
	Extending the South Hale Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of areas of existing residential development and is unlikely to come forward for significant economic development even if it was not included in the Conservation Area. By protecting buildings and features of historic interest both options could however help to create/retain attractive places to live and invest in the Borough which may have some positive impact on the objective. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford’s image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford’s Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The north western part of the South Hale Conservation Area is adjacent to the Hale District Centre. Nevertheless, it is considered that the choice of boundary option for the Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the long-term sustainability of Trafford’s town centres.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford’s economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
<p>The choice of boundary option for the South Hale Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p> <p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting landscape and townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of historic buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area, including several which are very similar in age, materials and style to those that are already included in the Conservation Area. Both options would have the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of enhancing Trafford's economic performance.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Stamford New Road Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the structures and open spaces to the east of Back Grafton Street (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include the railway Bridge on Moss Lane (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include the railway tracks to the extent of the railway platform and the historic associated railway structures to the west and east side of the tracks (Proposed Boundary Extension C); • Include the forecourt of the bus station to the road line (Proposed Boundary Extension D); • Include the former bowling green to the rear of no. 46 Railway Street (Proposed Boundary Extension E); • Include nos. 7a-15 Regent Road and the southwest side of Regent Road up to New Street (Proposed Boundary Extension F); • Remove no. 2 Goose Green from the Stamford New Road Conservation Area and add it to the Goose Green Conservation Area.

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending the Stamford New Road Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing commercial development, a railway and a bus station. These areas are therefore unlikely to come forward for significant housing development irrespective of whether or not they are included within the Conservation Area. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2 would result in both the railway and bus station being within the Conservation Area. However, the inclusion of these stations within the Conservation Area would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of Stamford New Road Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including no. 15 Regent Road and the railway station, both of which are considered by the Conservation Area Appraisal to be landmark structures. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Improved perceptions of the area	
	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. Option 2 would result in the structures and open spaces to the east of Back Grafton Street being included within the Conservation Area (proposed extension area A). This area is listed in the Stamford New Road Conservation Area Appraisal as having a negative impact on the character of the area due to there being a mixture of surface treatments, unkempt areas and some areas of graffiti. Incorporating this area within the Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to enhance the area which would have a positive impact on local neighbourhood quality. Option 2 therefore has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that including this structure within the Conservation Area does not guarantee that funding will be obtained to improve it.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon conserving land resources and reducing land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Both options would identify and protect the Stamford New Road Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including no. 15 Regent Road and the railway station, both of which are considered by the Conservation Area Appraisal to be landmark structures. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features and townscapes, it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending the Stamford New Road Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions is occupied by the railway and bus stations or by existing commercial development. In addition, protecting the special interest of this area could enhance the image of Altrincham town centre and ensure that it remains an attractive place to visit and invest in. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions and shopping patterns.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would protect the special interest of this area and could therefore enhance the image of Altrincham town centre. Given that Altrincham is the principal town centre in the Borough, both options have the potential to have some positive impact on Trafford's image as a tourism destination.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	The Stamford New Road Conservation Area is located entirely within Altrincham town centre. The choice of boundary for the Conservation Area could have some implications for development in the area and significantly extending conservation area boundaries in a town centre can restrict development opportunities. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Stamford New Road Conservation Area largely cover areas that are already developed and it is recognised that protecting the special interest of the area could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and a positive effect on the long term sustainability of the centre. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. Nevertheless, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including no. 15 Regent Road and the railway station, both of which are considered by the Conservation Area Appraisal to be landmark structures. Accordingly, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
performance of the economy	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
<p>The choice of boundary option for the Stamford New Road Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would protect the special interest of the area and could therefore have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives that relate to Trafford's economic performance; the image of Trafford as a tourism destination; and the long term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.</p> <p>Both options would help to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area, including no. 15 Regent Road and the railway station, both of which are considered by the Conservation Area Appraisal to be landmark structures. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p> <p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area. In addition, Option 2 would result in the structure to the east of Back Grafton Street being included within the Conservation Area. As noted in the Stamford New Road Conservation Area Appraisal, this area has a negative impact on the character of the area due to there being a mixture of surface treatments, unkempt areas and some areas of graffiti. Incorporating this area within the Conservation Area may make it easier to obtain funding to enhance it and, as a result, Option 2 could also have a positive impact on the objective of improving local neighbourhood quality.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

The Devisdale Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include properties on Bradgate Road, Foxhill, Hill Rise, Bonville Road, Dorset Road and the properties and open space to the south of Oldfield Road/Lane (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include properties to the east and west of Bow Green Road and to the north of Stanhope Road (Proposed Boundary Extension B); and • Remove the plot at the top of The Downs/bottom of Woodville Road, including The Narrows, which is now occupied by the 1908 Telephone Exchange and later buildings and the houses on Cedar Avenue, and transfer these to The Downs Conservation Area

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending The Devisdale Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing dwellings. Proposed extension area A does incorporate an area of open land. Nevertheless, this open land presently forms part of Dunham Forest Golf Club, is within the Green Belt, forms part of wildlife corridor and is identified by Core Strategy Policy R2 and on UDP Proposals Map ENV17 as an area where landscape character would be protected. As a result, this land is unlikely to come forward for housing development even if it was not included in the Conservation Area and the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on housing delivery in the Borough. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and the fear of crime	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of The Devisdale Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. One of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (proposed extension A) would result in an area of open space being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to this area of open space and could have some positive effect on the element of the objective that relates to conserving land resources. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that this area of land is subject to a number of constraints and it may therefore be unlikely to come forward for development irrespective of whether or not it is included in the Conservation Area.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect The Devisdale Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features, townscapes and open spaces it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job	

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	creation and prosperity.	
	Extending The Devisdale Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing dwellings. Proposed extension area A does incorporate an area of open land. Nevertheless, this open land presently forms part of Dunham Forest Golf Club, is within the Green Belt, forms part of wildlife corridor and is identified by Core Strategy Policy R2 and on UDP Proposals Map ENV17 as an area where landscape character would be protected. As a result, this land is unlikely to come forward for economic development irrespective of whether or not it is included in the Conservation Area. The proposed extensions to the Conservation Area are therefore unlikely to unduly restrict economic development. By protecting buildings and features of historic interest both options could however help to create/retain attractive places to live and invest in the Borough which may have some positive impact on the objective. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford’s image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford’s Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The north eastern part of the Conservation Area is located in relatively close proximity to Altrincham town centre. Nevertheless, it is considered that the choice of boundary option for the Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the long-term sustainability of Trafford’s town centres.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford’s economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
<p>The choice of boundary option for The Devisdale Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p>									
<p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting landscape and townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of historic buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area. Both options would have the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of enhancing Trafford's economic performance.</p>									
<p>One of the extensions proposed to the Conservation Area under option 2 (proposed extension A) would result in an area of open space being incorporated into the Conservation Area. This would provide additional protection to this area of open space and could have some positive effect on the objective that relates to conserving land resources. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that this area of land is subject to a number of constraints and it may therefore be unlikely to come forward for development irrespective of whether or not it is included in the Conservation Area. Option 1 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.</p>									
<p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects				
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative; ? uncertain

The Downs Conservation Area Boundary Options	
Option 1	Retain the existing Conservation Area boundary as defined by the UDP
Option 2	<p>Make the following amendments to the existing Conservation Area boundary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the plot at the top of The Downs/bottom of Woodville Road, including The Narrows, which is now occupied by the 1908 Telephone Exchange and later buildings and the houses on Cedar Avenue, which are currently part of the Devisdale Conservation Area (Proposed Boundary Extension A); • Include Cedar Court, which is currently part of the Devisdale Conservation Area; nos. 76-80 New Street and Copperfield Court (Proposed Boundary Extension B); • Include all of the L-shaped building of 16 The Downs (Proposed Boundary Extension C); • Include the area of garden on the south side of New Street (Proposed Boundary Extension D); • Include the north side of New Street to the west of 24 New Street (Proposed Boundary Extension E); • Include the row of Victorian commercial buildings on the south side of Lloyd Street (nos. 4-16) (Proposed Boundary Extension F); and • Include the rest of Oxford Road and Hale Road from Oxford Road up to Ashley Road (Proposed Boundary Extension G).

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Extending The Downs Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing development and the Conservation Area Appraisal for The Downs highlights that there are few opportunities for new development either within the existing Conservation Area or the proposed extensions. Both options are therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the accessibility of essential services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of The Downs Conservation Area and could therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. Both options therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. As a result, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-morphological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon conserving land resources and reducing land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would identify and protect the South Hale Conservation Area and therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. Option 2 would however result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, as Option 2 would lead to the protection of a greater number of historic buildings, features, townscapes and open spaces it has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	Extending The Downs Conservation Area could place some additional restrictions on development in this area. Nevertheless, much of the land that would be included through the proposed boundary extensions comprises of existing development and the Conservation Area Appraisal for The Downs highlights that there are few opportunities for new development either within the existing Conservation Area or the proposed extensions. In addition, protecting the special interest of this area, particularly the northern character zone, could enhance the image of Altrincham town centre and ensure that it remains an attractive place to visit and invest in. As such, both options could have some positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions and shopping patterns.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The northern part of the Conservation Area is within Altrincham town centre and forms a key gateway into the centre. Both options would protect the special interest of this area and could therefore enhance the image of Altrincham town centre. Given that Altrincham is the principal town centre in the Borough, both options have the potential to have some positive impact on Trafford's image as a tourism destination.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		
	The northern part of the Conservation Area is within Altrincham town centre. The choice of boundary for the Conservation Area could have some implications for development in the area and significantly extending conservation area boundaries in a town centre can restrict development opportunities. Nevertheless, the proposed extensions to the Conservation Area largely cover areas that are outside of the town centre and it is recognised that protecting the special interest of the part of the Conservation Area that is within the town centre could have a positive impact on perceptions of the centre and a positive effect on the long term sustainability of the centre. This is particularly important given that the Conservation Area Appraisal notes that there are a number of vacant commercial properties in the Conservation Area. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. Nevertheless, Option 2 would result in protection being given to additional buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Accordingly, there is a greater level of certainty that Option 2 would have a positive impact on this objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options are unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect			Mitigation	
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence		Secondary, cumulative, synergistic
Sustainability Summary									
<p>The choice of boundary option for The Downs Conservation Area is unlikely to have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. Both options would seek to retain the character and quality of the Conservation Area and would therefore provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide the area with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to encouraging a sense of community identity. However, Option 2 would result in protection being given to a greater number of high quality buildings and features that make a positive contribution to the character of the area. Consequently, there is a greater level of certainty that this option would have a positive impact on this objective.</p> <p>Similarly, although both options could have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting landscape and townscape character, Option 2 would have the potential to have a major positive impact on this objective as it would provide protection to a greater number of historic buildings and features that contribute to the townscape of the area.</p> <p>The northern part of the Conservation Area is within Altrincham town centre. Both options would protect the special interest of the area and could therefore have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre. As a result, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives that relate to Trafford's economic performance; the image of Trafford as a tourism destination; and the long term sustainability of Trafford's town centres.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Policy HE2 – Heritage Assets								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have any impact significant upon accessibility for all to essential services and facilities and the policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have any significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have any significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have any impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	By identifying and protecting Historic Parks and Gardens and providing support for bringing into use heritage assets that are identified on the ‘at risk’ register, the policy will provide protection to historic buildings and features that can provide areas with a sense of place and strengthen community identity. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have any impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy provides protection to Historic Parks and Gardens. It is recognised that some of these areas provide opportunities for sport and/or recreation and the protection of these features could therefore have some positive effect on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as the protection of historic parks and gardens does not guarantee that local residents will choose to use these areas for sport and/or recreation.							
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Policy HE2 – Heritage Assets								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
local neighbourhood quality	The policy is unlikely to have any significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health and well-being	
	The policy provides protection to Historic Parks and Gardens and encourages the enhancement of these areas. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of protecting and enhancing open space and could also have a positive effect on the sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	By encouraging the re-use of buildings, the policy could have some positive impact on carbon emissions by reducing the need to construct new buildings. Nevertheless, it is considered that the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	By encouraging the re-use of buildings, the policy could reduce the need to release greenfield sites to meet the Borough's housing needs. Nevertheless, it is considered that the re-use of these buildings is unlikely to make a significant contribution to the overall development needs of Trafford and, as such, is unlikely to have a significant upon land resources and contamination.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on air quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	++	++	++	Medium	More than local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	

Policy HE2 – Heritage Assets								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy provides protection to the Historic Parks and Gardens in the Borough and also encourages appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the ‘at risk’ register. As noted in the supporting text to the policy, there are presently five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being ‘at risk’ by English Heritage. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to protecting and enhancing conservation areas and protecting and enhancing registered historic parks and gardens.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford’s high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.	
	Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford’s image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on Trafford’s image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford’s Town Centres	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.	
	The policy provides encouragement for appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the ‘at risk’ register. As noted in the supporting text to the policy, there are presently five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being ‘at risk’ by English Heritage. Three of these conservation areas (Old Market Place, George Street and Stamford New Road) are within/adjacent to Altrincham town centre. Consequently, encouraging the re-use of historic assets in these areas could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and therefore help to support the long term sustainability of this centre.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the social and environmental performance of Trafford’s economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								

Policy HE2 – Heritage Assets								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The policy relating to heritage assets would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. By providing protection to the Historic Parks and Gardens and encouraging appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the ‘at risk’ register, the policy could however have a major positive impact on the objective relating to townscape character and on its sub-objectives concerned with protecting and enhancing conservation areas and protecting and enhancing registered historic parks and gardens. The protection afforded to Historic Parks and Gardens and the support for the enhancement of these areas mean that the policy would also have the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives relating to health; open space; and community welfare.</p> <p>There are five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being ‘at risk’ by English Heritage, three of which are within/adjoin Altrincham town centre. Consequently, encouraging the re-use of historic assets in these areas could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and therefore help to support the long term sustainability of this centre. Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore also have some positive impact on the objective relating to Trafford’s economic performance. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.</p> <p>The policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Policy HE3 – Archaeological Features								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact significant upon accessibility for all to essential services and facilities and the policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Policy HE3 – Archaeological Features								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and, inequalities in health of the population	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space or biodiversity. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Proposals Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

Policy HE3 – Archaeological Features								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on air quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is likely to support the protection of this designated archaeological asset. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the sub-objective of protecting and enhancing sites of archaeological importance.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact on the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

Policy HE3 – Archaeological Features								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Sustainability Summary								
The proposed policy relating to archaeological features would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. The identification of Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is likely to support the protection of this designated archaeological asset. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting and enhancing townscape character and its sub-objective of protecting and enhancing sites of archaeological importance.								
The policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Appendix R

Natural Environment

NE1 – Natural Environment Assets	
Option 1	Carry forward all the separate allocations from the UDP
Option 2	Include a policy to identify and allocate all assets by a single allocation “Natural Assets” but which grades assets into National (SSSIs) Regional/ County (Grade A SBIs) and Local (Grade B and C SBI, Local Nature Reserves, woodlands) to determine hierarchy of importance with more strategic, linear assets being protected under Core Strategy policy R3.

NE1 – Natural Environment Assets									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to services and facilities and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

NE1 – Natural Environment Assets									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the Borough	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of designated natural environment assets and would therefore help to maintain a variety of habitat types across the Borough. Both options would prevent development from having an adverse impact, either directly or indirectly, on a SSSI and would only permit development that would have a negative impact on a SBI if it is demonstrated that there are no satisfactory alternative means of delivering the proposal and that the social or economic benefits of the development clearly outweigh the harm to the asset. As such, both options should have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.								

NE1 – Natural Environment Assets									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of woodland, including Ancient Woodland. The protection of these areas of woodland could have a beneficial impact on efforts to reduce contributions to climate change by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of woodland, waterbodies, trees and other areas of greenfield land. As such, both options could have a positive impact on reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. Both options therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of designated natural environment assets. By seeking to resist development that would adversely affect these assets, both options would lead to the protection of greenfield land and could therefore have some positive impact on the conservation of land resources.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of designated natural environment assets, a number of which include waterbodies and watercourses. Both options therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective of protecting water quality.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of woodland, including Ancient Woodland. The protection of these areas of woodland could have a beneficial impact on air quality by resulting in the absorption of pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, both options could have a positive impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on	

NE1 – Natural Environment Assets									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	perceptions of the Borough	
	Both options would lead to the protection of natural environment assets, a number of which contribute to the landscape character of the Borough. In addition, a number of the Local Nature Conservation Sites that would be protected include historic parks and gardens. Consequently, both options have the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of protecting landscape and townscape character.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

NE1 – Natural Environment Assets									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>Both of the proposed approaches to the protection of natural environment assets would have the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives, particularly those that relate to climate change. Both options would lead to the protection of designated natural environment assets and would therefore help to maintain a variety of habitat types across the Borough. As such, both options would have a major positive impact on the objective relating to biodiversity.</p> <p>Both options would lead to the protection of areas of trees and areas of woodland, including Ancient Woodland. The protection of these features could help to remove pollutants from the atmosphere and both options could therefore have a beneficial impact on the objectives that relate to air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. The protection afforded to areas of woodland, waterbodies, trees and other areas of greenfield land should also ensure that both options also have some positive impact on the objectives that relate to conserving land resources; reducing the impacts of climate change; and protecting water quality.</p> <p>Both options could also have some positive effect on the objective of protecting landscape and townscape character by leading to the protection of a number of historic parks and gardens and features that contribute to the landscape character of the Borough.</p> <p>Neither option would have a negative or an uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

NE2 – Landscape Character Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy identifies key landscape character areas that will be protected or enhanced but does not eliminate the possibility of development taking place in these areas and, as such, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

NE2 – Landscape Character Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
local neighbourhood quality	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy provides protection for key landscape character areas in the Borough. These areas contain a range of habitats, including woodland, hedgerows and river valleys. The policy will therefore provide protection to a number of habitats and it also specifies that any development proposals in these areas will be assessed against the impact they would have on features of importance to wildlife. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective and its sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy provides protection for a series of landscape areas. A number of these are characterised by areas of woodland and the protection of these wooded areas could have some beneficial impact upon contributions to climate change by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for a series of landscape areas which comprises predominantly of greenfield land and a number of which are characterised by areas of woodland. Whilst the policy does not preclude all development in these areas, it would afford some protection to the key features that contribute to the character of the area and could therefore provide protection to areas have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling and which help reduce levels of surface water run-off. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for a series of landscape areas which comprises predominantly of greenfield land. Whilst the policy does not preclude all development in these areas it does have the potential to have some positive impact on the objective of conserving land resources.							
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity	

NE2 – Landscape Character Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
water quality	The policy provides protection for a series of landscape areas which comprises predominantly of greenfield land. Several of these areas contain rivers and other watercourses. Whilst the policy does not preclude all development in these areas, by providing protection to the key features that contribute to the character of these areas the policy could have help protect water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.	
	The policy provides protection for a series of landscape areas. A number of these are characterised by areas of woodland and the protection of these wooded areas could have some beneficial impact upon air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The policy seeks to preserve the key landscape character areas as set out by the Landscape Assessment of the Borough's open land areas. The policy would therefore protect the key landscapes that that make a major contribution to the character of the Borough and specifies that any development proposals in these areas will be assessed against the impact they would have on landscape quality. The protection of these landscape character areas could also have a positive impact on the setting of a number of designated heritage assets. The policy would therefore have a major positive impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy would provide protection for the wooded estate land that forms the setting for Dunham Hall, which is one of Trafford's key tourism assets. Consequently, the policy has the potential to support the image of Trafford as a tourism destination and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

NE2 – Landscape Character Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
<p>The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, preserve the key landscape character areas of the Borough and potentially protecting the setting of a number of designated heritage assets, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.</p> <p>The policy would also have a positive impact on a number of other environmental objectives. The policy would provide protection to key landscape character areas in the Borough. These areas contain a range of habitats and woodland and the protection of these areas could therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to biodiversity; conserving land resources; water quality; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. By protecting these areas the policy could also have a major positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off.</p> <p>The policy would provide protection for the wooded estate land that forms the setting for Dunham Hall, which is one of Trafford's key tourism assets. Consequently, the policy also has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective of enhancing the image of Trafford as a tourism destination.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

NE3 – Landscape Views								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy identifies key landscape views that will be protected or enhanced but does not eliminate the possibility of development taking place in these areas and, as such, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

NE3 – Landscape Views								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
local neighbourhood quality	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on the image of the local area	
	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these views could help to retain these areas of woodland and other habitats in the area. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective and its sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these wooded areas could have some beneficial impact upon contributions to climate change by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded and well landscaped views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these views could help to retain these areas of woodland and open space in the area. The policy could therefore provide protection to areas have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling and which help reduce levels of surface water run-off. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded and well landscaped views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these views could help to retain these areas of woodland and open space in the area. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

NE3 – Landscape Views								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.	
	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these wooded areas could have some beneficial impact upon air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The policy seeks to protect and enhance a number of key landscape views that make a major contribution to the quality of the Borough's landscape. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

NE3 – Landscape Views								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and environmental performance of the economy	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting and enhancing a number of key landscape views that make a major contribution to the quality of the Borough's landscape, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.								
The policy would also have a positive impact on a number of other environmental objectives. The policy would provide protection to the rural wooded views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these views could help to retain these areas of woodland and other habitats in the area and would therefore have the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to biodiversity; conserving land resources; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. By protecting these areas the policy could also have a major positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off.								
The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Appendix S

Green Infrastructure

Green Infrastructure Policy Options	
Option 1	Protect all existing open space in the UDP that has a GI function (e.g. Protected Linear Land, Wildlife Corridor, Mersey Valley) and rename and identify them on the Policies Map as Strategic GI.
Option 2	Protect and identify all the existing UDP sites as “Strategic GI” but in addition identify further GI protection and opportunities for enhancement for all or some of the following: waterways with a buffer, the three areas identified as BOAs (Stretford Meadows, Wellacre, Carrington Mossland) and “GI opportunity areas”.

Green Infrastructure Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both of the options would help protect areas of green infrastructure and should therefore have a positive impact on access to existing areas of greenspace. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Green Infrastructure Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of greenspace and could therefore have a positive effect on the objective by encouraging participation in sport and informal recreation.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		

Green Infrastructure Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
morphological features	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of existing green infrastructure and would therefore have a positive effect on the objective. Option 2 is however likely to have a more significant impact on the objective as it would not only protect GI that is identified by the UDP but would also provide protection to waterways with a buffer and GI opportunity areas most specifically identified BOAs named in GM Ecological Framework as important areas for improving biodiversity .								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of existing green infrastructure. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to reduce contributions to climate by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of existing green infrastructure. These areas of green infrastructure have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, these areas of green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impact of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both options would protect areas of greenfield land from development and would therefore have a positive impact on conserving land resources. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would protect areas of greenfield land from development, a number of which are located in close proximity to water courses. Option 2 would however give greater protection to buffers to waterways and, as such, has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.								

Green Infrastructure Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on health	
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of existing green infrastructure. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. However, by identifying a greater number of GI opportunities, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would protect areas of greenfield land that contribute to landscape character from development and would therefore have a positive impact on the objective. However, by identifying a greater number of GI opportunities, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Green Infrastructure Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Trafford's Town Centres	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
Both of the proposed approaches to green infrastructure would have a similar impact on the objectives. In particular, both options would protect areas of greenspace from development and could therefore have a positive impact on access to greenspace; health and on conserving land resources. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on each of these objectives.									
The protection given to GI by the two options would ensure that both approaches would also have a positive impact on the objectives that relate to biodiversity; reducing the effects of climate change; landscape character; and water quality. In addition, tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of these areas could have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. However, by identifying a greater number of GI opportunities, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on each of these objectives.									
Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

G11 – Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing and is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be protected and enhanced and seeks to ensure that development does not impact adversely on existing or proposed access to these areas. The policy could therefore enhance access to open space and thereby have some positive impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health.	
	The policy promotes access to a number of Green Infrastructure sites. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access Sale Water Park and promotes the use of footpaths and cycleways to access this strategic green infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive impact upon choice of travel mode and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure sites and could therefore promote participation in informal recreation. The policy also protects Sale Water Park, which is a major regional centre for water sports, and promotes the use of bridleways and cycleways to access this strategic green infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the potential to increase participation in recreation, walking and cycling and could therefore have a major positive impact on health. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.							

G11 – Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The protection of green infrastructure sites can have a positive impact on neighbourhood quality and perceptions of an area. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy promotes the use of public transport, walking and cycling to access Sale Water Park. It is however considered that the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on traffic and is therefore unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The protection and enhancement of green infrastructure has the potential to provide a range of habitats for species. The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be protected and enhanced. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to protecting and enhancing open space. The policy also specifically states that the Broad Ees Dole Local Nature Site at Sale Water Park will be maintained. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity, flora and fauna, and on the sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to reduce contributions to climate by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, by protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, these areas of green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impact of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

G11 – Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
resources and reduce land contamination	The policy provides protection for areas of existing green space and would ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure can moderate surface water run-off rates and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding, both of which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.	
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. A number of these sites are situated in close proximity to AQMAs. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, by protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas across the Borough. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

G11 – Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	+	+	+	Medium	More than local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and prosperity.	
	The policy provides protection for Sale Water Park, which is a regional centre for water sports, nature conservation and informal recreation. By protecting this area and encouraging the provision of appropriate facilities, the policy could help support this important visitor attraction and have a positive impact on the image of Trafford as a tourism destination.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
<p>The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting a regional centre for water sports, protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure for recreational use and promoting walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. By protecting these areas of green infrastructure the policy could also have a major positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. Other objectives that the policy could have a major positive impact on are those that relate to biodiversity and landscape character.</p> <p>The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of these areas could have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. In addition, the identification and enhancement of these green infrastructure sites could also have some positive effect on the objectives that relates to local neighbourhood quality; water quality; and conserving land resources.</p> <p>By promoting enhanced access to areas of green infrastructure, particularly by walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to the accessibility of facilities and choice of travel mode. The policy could also have some positive effect on the image of Trafford as a tourism destination by protecting Sale Water Park and giving encouragement to the provision of appropriate facilities at this site.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing as the major GI opportunity sites are in Green Belt and are not identified for housing. Smaller sites identified as a wash over existing designations could potentially enhance a housing site. However there is insufficient detail to determine this at present. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be enhanced and promotes greater access to these areas for informal recreation. The policy could therefore enhance access to open space and thereby have some positive impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health.	
	The policy promotes access to/through a number of Green Infrastructure sites by walking and cycling. In particular, it identifies Altrincham Sewage Works as having the potential to provide active travel routes between Carrington and Sale West and identifies the potential to create footpaths and cycle links across Carrington Mossland to link Sale West with Carrington and Partington. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive impact upon choice of travel mode and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy seeks to enhance a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas and promotes the use of these areas for recreation. The policy promotes access to/through a number of Green Infrastructure sites by walking and cycling. In particular, it identifies Altrincham Sewage Works as having the potential to provide active travel routes between Carrington and Sale West and identifies the potential to create footpaths and cycle links across Carrington Mossland to link Sale West with Carrington and Partington. The policy therefore has the potential to increase participation in recreation, walking and cycling and could therefore have a major positive impact on health. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The provision of green infrastructure can have a positive impact on neighbourhood quality and perceptions of an area. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy promotes access to/through a number of Green Infrastructure sites by walking and cycling. In particular, it identifies Altrincham Sewage Works as having the potential to provide active travel routes between Carrington and Sale West and identifies the potential to create footpaths and cycle links across Carrington Mossland to link Sale West with Carrington and Partington. It is however considered that the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on traffic and is therefore unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The provision of green infrastructure has the potential to provide a range of habitats for species. The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be enhanced. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to protecting and enhancing open space. The policy also states that a number of these green infrastructure opportunity areas will be enhanced to improve their biodiversity value. For instance, it is specified that enhancements at Wellacre will include habitat creation/management. The policy also promotes enhanced biodiversity within a Community Forest setting and that the land to the west of Roaring Gate Lane will be enhanced and managed for its ecological interest. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity, flora and fauna, and on the sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to reduce contributions to climate by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, by enhancing areas of green infrastructure and encouraging tree planting at a number of these sites, such as Stretford Meadows, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
climate change	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, these areas of green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impact of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy seeks to enhance areas of existing green space and would ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure can moderate surface water run-off rates and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding, both of which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.	
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. A number of these opportunity areas, such as Stretford Meadows and the open land to the south of the M60, are situated in close proximity to AQMAs. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, by enhancing areas of green infrastructure and by encouraging tree planting at a number of these sites, including Stretford Meadows, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The policy seeks to enhance a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas across the Borough. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.							

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford’s high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford’s image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford’s Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford’s town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford’s economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure for recreational use and promoting walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. By enhancing areas of green infrastructure opportunity the policy could also have a major positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. Other objectives that the policy could have a major positive impact on are those that relate to biodiversity and landscape character.</p> <p>The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of these areas could have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. In addition, the identification and enhancement of these green infrastructure sites could also have some positive effect on the objectives that relates to local neighbourhood quality; water quality; and conserving land resources. In addition, by promoting enhanced access to areas of green infrastructure, particularly by walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to the accessibility of facilities and choice of travel mode.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

GI3 – Site Specific Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to essential services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S9. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

GI3 – Site Specific Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
local neighbourhood quality	The provision of green infrastructure can have a positive impact on neighbourhood quality and perceptions of an area. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic and, as such, is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	
	The provision of green infrastructure, including trees, has the potential to provide a range of habitats for species. The policy requires the provision of green infrastructure in new development and encourages this to take any reasonable opportunities to connect to, or enhance, the existing Strategic Green Infrastructure Network. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and on the sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Tree planting has the potential to reduce contributions to climate by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, by requiring new development to contribute to the green infrastructure through, inter alia, tree planting, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The provision of green infrastructure, including trees, has the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impacts of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. Consequently, by requiring new development to contribute towards green infrastructure provision, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources or contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	The provision of green infrastructure can moderate surface water run-off rates and volumes and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding, both of which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. Consequently, by requiring new development to contribute towards green infrastructure provision, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							

GI3 – Site Specific Green Infrastructure								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.	
	The policy requires new development to contribute to green infrastructure and the supporting text to the policy explains that this will often be achieved through tree planting. Tree can play an important role in improving air quality by removing some pollutants from the atmosphere. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon landscape and townscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

GI3 – Site Specific Green Infrastructure								
SA Objective	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives, particularly those that relate to environmental issues. The provision of green infrastructure, including trees, has the potential to provide a range of habitats for species and can also play an important role in moderating the urban heat island effect and reducing surface water run-off rates and volumes. Consequently, by requiring new development to contribute towards green infrastructure provision, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objectives that relate to biodiversity and reducing the impacts of climate change; and some positive effect on the objective of improving water quality.								
The planting of trees can also have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. In addition, by requiring the provision of green infrastructure, the policy could also have some positive effect on the objective that relates to local neighbourhood quality.								
The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

GI4 – Allotments								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Allotments are unlikely to be classified as an essential service or facility and, as such, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon accessibility for all to essential services and facilities. Consequently, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Allotments, particularly if they are available for community use, can provide education opportunities. Accordingly, by protecting existing allotments and seeking to secure the provision of new allotments, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The provision and retention of allotments can contribute to the health and well-being of the population and, as such, the posed policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							

GI4 – Allotments								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic and, as such, is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for existing allotments and encourages the provision of allotment areas in new development. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by providing protection to these areas of open space. It is also recognised that allotments have the potential to provide habitat for species and, as a result, the policy could also have a positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity, flora and fauna.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon greenhouse gas emissions and, as such, is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for existing allotments and encourages the provision of allotment areas in new development. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by retaining and providing areas of open space which can help moderate the higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for existing allotments and, as a result, would help to conserve these greenfield sites. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	Retaining areas of open space, such as allotments, can help moderate surface water run-off and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality and, as such, is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

GI4 – Allotments								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon landscape and townscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								

GI4 – Allotments								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a wide range of objectives. The retention of existing allotments and the provision of new allotment areas have the potential to contribute to health and well-being and provide education opportunities. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the social objectives that relate to health and education and skills.</p> <p>The policy would also have a positive effect on a wide range of environmental objectives. In particular, it would lead to the protection of these greenfield sites and would therefore have a positive effect on the objective concerned with land resources. The protection of these areas could also help reduce surface water run-off and mitigate the urban heat island effect. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives related to water quality and reducing the impacts of climate change. The policy also has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective related to biodiversity and open space.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Appendix T

Sport and Leisure

SP1 – Existing Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective by supporting the retention of these local facilities.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impact upon community welfare by supporting the retention and enhancement of these local community facilities.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

SP1 – Existing Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
of the population	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or exercise.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities and, as such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would be significant.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity and geological features. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant effect upon efforts to reduce the impacts of climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

SP1 – Existing Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and land contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon townscape and landscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SP1 – Existing Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
image as a tourism destination	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area and job creation.	
	There are a number of indoor sports facilities that are located within or in close proximity to Trafford's town centres, such as Sale Leisure Centre and Altrincham Leisure Centre. By ensuring the protection of these facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them, the policy could have a positive impact on this objective by supporting the retention of a facility that encourages visitors to the town centre and/or facilitates linked trips. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as the extent to which existing leisure facilities encourage linked trips to Trafford's town centres is unknown.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
The proposed policy relating to existing sports and leisure facilities has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by providing support for participation in sport and exercise, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The retention of these facilities would also have a positive effect on the objectives relating to access to services and facilities; and community welfare.								
The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on a number of environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of the sports and leisure facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, a policy which provides protection to these facilities has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. Given that a number of these leisure facilities are located in close proximity to town centres, the proposed policy could also have a positive effect on the economic objective relating to the sustainability of Trafford's town centres by providing support for the retention of facilities that attract visitors to these centres.								
The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

SP2 – School Playing Fields								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on access to sport and recreation facilities and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community welfare and identity. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport and recreation. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.							

SP2 – School Playing Fields								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. The policy could therefore reduce the need for residents living near schools to travel in order to access sports facilities. As such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any reduction in traffic flows associated with the policy would be significant.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields. It is recognised that these areas could be of some biodiversity value and, as such, the policy has the potential to support the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity, flora and fauna.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. The policy could therefore reduce the need for residents living near schools to travel in order to access sports facilities which would result in an associated reduction in greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. As such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any reduction in traffic flows associated with the policy would have a significant impact on climate change.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by retaining areas of open space which can help moderate the higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

SP2 – School Playing Fields								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
resources and reduce land contamination	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and thereby seeks to ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	Retaining school playing fields can help moderate surface water run-off and thereby minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. The policy could therefore reduce the need for residents living near schools to travel in order to access sports facilities which would result in an associated reduction in vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. As such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any reduction in traffic flows associated with the policy would have a significant impact on air quality.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon townscape and landscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford’s high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford’s	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SP2 – School Playing Fields								
SA Objective	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
image as a tourism destination	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford’s Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford’s town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford’s economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
<p>The proposed policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting school playing fields and encouraging their dual use by the wider community, the policy could provide support for participation in sport and recreation and thereby have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The policy would also have a positive effect on the objective relating to access to services and facilities.</p> <p>The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on several environmental objectives. Specifically, the dual use of these facilities could reduce the need for people living near schools to travel in order to access sports/leisure facilities. Accordingly, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The retention of playing fields could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. In addition, the policy would have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with biodiversity; conserving land resources; and improving water quality.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

SP3 – Golf Courses								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to essential services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of these facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or exercise.							

SP3 – Golf Courses								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	Include an additional criterion that relates to the impact of new golf courses on the local highways network.
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities and, as such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of these facilities would be significant. In addition, the policy lists a series of criteria that will be used to judge new proposals for golf courses but this list of criteria does not include the impact of the proposals on traffic and the functioning of the local highways network.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy seeks to not only protect existing golf courses but also encourages appropriate enhancements to their green infrastructure functions. As such, it has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. The policy also specifies that any new golf courses will be judged against, inter alia, the effect they would have on the conservation of natural habitats. As such, the policy should also help protect biodiversity, flora and fauna and could have a positive effect on the sub-objective relating to conserving the natural environment.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of these facilities would have a significant impact on climate change.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by retaining areas of open space which can help moderate the higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

SP3 – Golf Courses								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses and would ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development. The policy also specifies that proposals for new golf courses will be judged against the effect they would have on the loss of best and most versatile agricultural land. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on air quality.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy specifies that proposals for new golf courses will be judged against the impact they would have on the landscape qualities of the area and specifically states that any proposals in the Mersey Valley and Bollin Valley Areas of Special Landscape Value will be particularly carefully scrutinised. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on landscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a positive impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SP3 – Golf Courses								
SA Objective	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
image as a tourism destination	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the vitality and viability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
<p>The proposed policy relating to golf courses has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by providing support for participation in sport and exercise, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The policy could also have a major positive impact on the objective relating to open space and biodiversity by seeking to protect and enhance existing golf courses and ensuring that new golf courses do not have an unacceptable impact on the conservation of natural habitats.</p> <p>The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on a number of environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of existing golf courses could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, a policy which provides protection to these facilities has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. By providing support for the retention of these areas of open space, the policy could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. In addition, the policy would have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with conserving land resources and protecting landscapes.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

SP4 – Opportunities for new/enhanced Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The requirement to contribute towards the provision of new sports and leisure has a cost implication for developers and could have an adverse impact on viability, particularly in certain areas of the Borough which have a ‘cold’ housing market, if the costs associated with adhering to the policy are too great. This would have the potential to have a negative impact on housing delivery and the objective. The implementation of the policy is however supported by the Planning Obligations SPD and this document does provide scope for negotiation when viability is a concern. As such, policy SP4 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore help improve access to sports and leisure facilities and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore improve choice of travel mode and could also have a positive effect on the sub-objective relating to participation in walking and cycling.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. Through securing the provision of these community facilities the policy could have some positive effect on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

SP4 – Opportunities for new/enhanced Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development is supported by the provision of new sports and leisure facilities and specifies that these should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that such proposals should lead to improved access to sports facilities. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or exercise.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	Include an additional criterion that relates to the impact of new golf courses on the local highways network.
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore reduce the need to travel to access sports and leisure facilities and ensure that these facilities can be accessed by sustainable modes of transport. In addition, the policy requires proposals for new sports and leisure facilities to not lead to significant traffic congestion or road safety problems. Consequently, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy requires proposals for the development of new or refurbishment of existing sports and leisure facilities to not have an unacceptable impact on open space or biodiversity. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore reduce the need to travel to access sports and leisure facilities and would ensure that these facilities can be accessed by sustainable modes of transport. In addition, the policy requires proposals for new sports and leisure facilities to not lead to significant traffic congestion or road safety problems. Consequently, the policy could have a positive impact by reducing traffic and associated carbon emissions.							
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

SP4 – Opportunities for new/enhanced Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
climate change	The policy requires proposals for the development of new or refurbishment of existing sports and leisure facilities to not have an unacceptable impact on flood storage. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and land contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore reduce the need to travel to access sports and leisure facilities and would ensure that these facilities can be accessed by sustainable modes of transport. In addition, the policy requires proposals for new sports and leisure facilities to not lead to significant traffic congestion or road safety problems. Consequently, the policy could have a positive impact by reducing traffic and associated vehicular emissions.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy requires proposals for the development of new or refurbishment of existing sports and leisure facilities to be well designed and appropriately landscaped. The policy also specifies that proposals for sports and recreational activities in a countryside location should be of a scale and nature that is appropriate to their landscape setting. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SP4 – Opportunities for new/enhanced Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford’s image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford’s image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford’s Town Centres	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area and job creation.	
	The policy requires major wet/dry sports facilities to be in a town centre. This could have a positive impact on the sustainability of Trafford’s town centres by attracting visitors to the centre and encouraging linked trips. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as the extent to which existing leisure facilities encourage linked trips to Trafford’s town centres is unknown.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford’s economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								

SP4 – Opportunities for new/enhanced Sports and Leisure Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The proposed policy relating to new and enhanced sports and leisure facilities has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by providing support for participation in sport and exercise, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health.</p> <p>The policy would also ensure the provision of local sports and leisure facilities to serve new development and would ensure that new facilities are in accessible locations that are served by a choice of modes of transport and which would not result in significant traffic congestion. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objectives relating to the accessibility of services; choice of travel mode; community welfare; reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The policy also requires new facilities to not have an unacceptable impact on, inter alia, open space, flood storage, biodiversity or their landscape setting. It could therefore also have a positive effect on the objectives of reducing the impacts of climate change; biodiversity and open space; and protecting landscapes. In addition, the requirement for major wet/dry sport and leisure facilities to be in a town centre location could also have a positive effect on the economic objective relating to the sustainability of Trafford's town centres by directing facilities that attract visitors to these centres.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

SP5 – Loss of Leisure and Sports Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective by supporting the retention of these local facilities.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impact upon community welfare by supporting the retention of these local community facilities.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

SP5 – Loss of Leisure and Sports Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
of the population	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or exercise.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities and, as such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would be significant.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity and geological features. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant effect upon efforts to reduce the impacts of climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SP5 – Loss of Leisure and Sports Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and land contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon townscape and landscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

SP5 – Loss of Leisure and Sports Facilities								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area and job creation.	
	There are a number of indoor sports facilities that are located within or in close proximity to Trafford's town centres, such as Sale Leisure Centre and Altrincham Leisure Centre. By ensuring the protection of these facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them, the policy could have a positive impact on this objective by supporting the retention of a facility that encourages visitors to the town centre and/or facilitates linked trips. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as the extent to which existing leisure facilities encourage linked trips to Trafford's town centres is unknown.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
The proposed policy relating to the loss of sports and leisure facilities has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by providing support for participation in sport and exercise, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The retention of these facilities would also have a positive effect on the objectives relating to access to services and facilities; and community welfare.								
The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on a number of environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of the sports and leisure facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, a policy which provides protection to these facilities has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. Given that a number of these leisure facilities are located in close proximity to town centres, the proposed policy could also have a positive effect on the economic objective relating to the sustainability of Trafford's town centres by providing support for the retention of facilities that attract visitors to these centres.								
The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Appendix U

Open Space

Open Space Policy Options	
Option 1	Protect and maintain the existing provision of open space for the present population and in areas of significant new population growth look to increase quantity of open space by providing new areas within Strategic Locations and suitable strategic green infrastructure sites. In addition, improve the quality and accessibility of open spaces by upgrading them into and within the parks hierarchy.
Option 2	Carry forward the UDP policy identifying only the areas for improvement that have not been already completed.

Open Space Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	Option 2	?	?	?	Low	Local	Long term		Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	The option of increasing the quantity of open space and improving the accessibility of existing open spaces would have a positive impact on the objective by improving access to these important local facilities. By contrast, the alternative option would have an uncertain impact on the objective as this approach would not support the provision of sufficient, accessible open space within the Strategic Locations to meet the needs of residents.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Open Space Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 1 would support the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective by leading to the creation of open spaces that contribute to community identity and welfare. The alternative option is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both options would lead to improvements to areas of existing open space and could therefore have a positive effect on the objective by encouraging participation in sport and recreation. Option 1 is however likely to have a more significant impact on the objective as it would not only improve existing open space but would also lead to the provision of new areas of open space in areas of significant new population growth and would deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on greenhouse gas emissions and air quality.	
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	Option 1 would support the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and would deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision. This option could therefore have a positive impact on the objective by eliminating the need for residents in these areas to travel in order to access open space. By contrast, the alternative option would not support the provision of new open space in the Strategic Locations and would not deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision. Option 2 could therefore have a negative impact on the objective.								

Open Space Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on the image of the local area	
	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	Both options would lead to improvements to areas of existing open space and could therefore have a positive effect on the element of the objective that relates to enhancing open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. Option 1 is however likely to have a more significant impact on the objective as it would not only improve existing open space but would also lead to the provision of new areas of open space in areas of significant new population growth and would deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	Option 1 would support the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and would deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision. This option could therefore have a positive impact on the objective by eliminating the need for residents in these areas to travel in order to access open space, which would have a positive impact on carbon emissions from the transport sector. By contrast, the alternative option would not support the provision of new open space in the Strategic Locations and would not deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision. Option 2 could therefore have a negative impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity	
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	Option 1 would support the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and could therefore have a positive impact on reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and also reducing levels of surface water run-off. By not supporting the provision of new open space in the Strategic Locations, the alternative option has the potential to have a negative impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

Open Space Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity	
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	Option 1 would support the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and could therefore have a positive impact on local water quality by helping to moderate surface water run-off and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. By not supporting the provision of new open space in the Strategic Locations, the alternative option has the potential to have a negative impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health	Secure provision of open space in Strategic Locations
	Option 1 would support the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and would deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision. This option could therefore have a positive impact on the objective by eliminating the need for residents in these areas to travel in order to access open space, which would have a positive impact on emissions from the transport sector. By contrast, the alternative option would not support the provision of new open space in the Strategic Locations and would not deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision. Option 2 could therefore have a negative impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon townscape and landscape character. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Open Space Policy Options									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to the provision and enhancement of open space is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									
Both of the proposed approaches to the provision and enhancement of open space have the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, both options would deliver improvements to open space and therefore have the potential have a positive effect on objectives that relate to enhancing open space and health. Option 1 is however likely to have a more significant impact on these objectives as it would not only improve existing open space but would also lead to the provision of new areas of open space in areas of significant new population growth and would deliver targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision.									
By supporting the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations and delivering targeted improvements to open space based on the most up-to-date information about current deficiencies in provision, Option 1 could reduce the need for residents to travel in order to access open space and could therefore have a positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; access to services and facilities; air quality; and contributions to climate change. By contrast, the alternative option would have a negative or uncertain impact on each of these objectives. Option 1 would also have a positive impact on the objectives relating to community welfare; reducing the impacts of climate change; and water quality, whereas Option 2 could have a negative impact on the objectives that relate to reducing the impacts of climate change; and water quality.									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

OS1 – Parks and Open Spaces	
Option 1	Policy to identify, protect and seek to enhance existing open spaces
No alternative options considered	

OS1 – Parks and Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective by supporting the retention of these local facilities.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. It is recognised that the provision of open space can have a positive impact on physical and mental well-being. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact upon community welfare.								
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS1 – Parks and Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and skills of the resident population	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport and recreation. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space and, as such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of areas of open space would be significant.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. It therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective of protecting open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. Protecting areas of open space would also support the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.								

OS1 – Parks and Open Spaces									
SA Objective	Option	Timescale			Nature of Effect				Mitigation
		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of existing open space. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by retaining areas of open space which can help moderate the higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of existing open space and would ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development unless there is a surplus of open space in the area or the release of a small part of the site is necessary to ensure the retention and enhancement of the rest of the area. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	Retaining areas of open space can help moderate surface water run-off and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space which could result in increased vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on air quality. Parts of Trafford are designated as an AQMA and the retention of existing areas of greenspace, and the trees within them, could help protect air quality by removing some pollutants from the air.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	

OS1 – Parks and Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	A number of the existing areas of open space in Trafford are designated heritage assets. For instance, a large part of Longford Park in Stretford is a conservation area and Stamford Park in Altrincham is on the Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest. In addition, there are a number of instances where areas of open space are integral to the character and setting of a listed structure, such as the grade II listed Gorse Hill Park Entrance Gates. Consequently, by providing protection for areas of open space, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

OS1 – Parks and Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The proposed policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting existing parks the policy could provide support for participation in sport and recreation and thereby have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The policy would also have a major positive effect on the objective that seeks to protect open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. The protection and enhancement of existing areas of open space would also have a positive effect on the objectives relating to access to services and facilities; and community welfare.</p> <p>The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on several environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of areas of open space could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, by providing protection to existing areas of open space the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The retention of areas of open space could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. The policy could also help protect and enhance the setting of a number of heritage assets and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to landscape and townscape character. In addition, the policy would have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with conserving land resources and improving water quality.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces	
Option 1	Policy to set out open space requirements for new development
No alternative options considered	

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The requirement to contribute towards the provision of open space has a cost implication for developers and could have an adverse impact on viability, particularly in certain areas of the Borough which have a ‘cold’ housing market, if the costs associated with adhering to the policy are too great. This would have the potential to have a negative impact on housing delivery and the objective. The implementation of the policy is however supported by the Planning Obligations SPD and this document does provide scope for negotiation when viability is a concern. As such, policy OS2 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site. The policy also specifically identifies specific open space requirements for a series of sites, a number of which, such as Pomona, are located in areas that were identified by the Trafford’s Green and Open Spaces Assessment of Need as being deficient in open space provision. The policy therefore has the potential have a positive impact on the objective by ensuring that the occupants of new development are provided with appropriate access to open space.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy specifies that any open space or green routes proposed in new development should be provided in a way that promotes non-motorised active travel, such as walking and cycling. The proposed use of the site therefore has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site. Through securing the provision of these community facilities the policy could have some positive effect on the objective.								

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site. It specifies that new open space should provide opportunities for recreation and also requires new open space to promote walking and cycling. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport and recreation. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the provision of open space does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site. The policy could therefore reduce the need for resident’s to travel in order to access open space. In addition, the policy specifies that any open space or green routes proposed in new development should be provided in a way that promotes non-motorised active travel, such as walking and cycling. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents or deliver enhancements to existing open space. It also specifies that any open space provided should promote opportunities for biodiversity. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective of enhancing open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. It would also support the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site. The policy could therefore reduce the need for resident's to travel in order to access open space and could therefore reduce greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. In addition, the policy specifies that any open space or green routes proposed in new development should be provided in a way that promotes non-motorised active travel, such as walking and cycling. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by retaining areas of open space which can help moderate the higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contaminated land. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	The provision of areas of open space in new development could help moderate surface water run-off and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health,	
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usually be provided on site and promotes non-motorised active travel, such as walking and cycling. The policy could therefore reduce the need for resident's to travel in order to access open space and could therefore reduce vehicular emissions associated with transport. In addition, parts of Trafford are designated as an AQMA and the provision of new areas of open space, and associated tree planting could improve air quality by removing some pollutants from the air.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's townscape and landscapes. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The requirement to contribute towards the provision of open space has a cost implication for developers and could have an adverse impact on development viability if the costs associated with adhering to the policy are too great. This would have the potential to have a negative impact on development and could have a negative effect on the objective. The implementation of the policy is however supported by the Planning Obligations SPD and this document does provide scope for negotiation when viability is a concern. As such, policy OS2 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The proposed policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by requiring development to provide open space or enhance existing open space, the policy could provide support for participation in sport and recreation and thereby have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The policy would also have a major positive effect on the objective that seeks to protect open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. In addition, the provision of open space to meet the needs of residents would have a positive effect on the objectives relating to access to services and facilities; and community welfare; and the requirement for new open space to be accessible by non-motorised forms of travel, such as walking and cycling, mean that the policy could have a positive impact on the objective relating to choice of travel mode and its sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling.</p> <p>The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on several environmental objectives. Specifically, by requiring the provision of new open space to meet the needs of the residents of new development the policy could help reduce the need to travel to access these facilities and could thereby have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The provision of new areas of open space could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off and also have a positive effect on the objective concerned with water quality.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Site Address	Cavendish Road, Bowdon		
Site Reference	CFS07-1070-36;		
Proposed Use	Protected Open Space	Site Area	0.54 ha

Cavendish Road, Bowdon								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as protected open space would not result in the loss of a previously identified housing site and would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure; improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon choice of travel mode. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The site is not located within close proximity of a Priority Regeneration Area or a Regeneration Area and the identification of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The provision of protected open space could have a positive impact on community welfare and identity and the proposed use therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the qualification and skills of the population. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

Cavendish Road, Bowdon								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	0	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	Secure the provision replacement open space.
	The site is presently used as a tennis club and school playing field. Its use as protected open space has the potential to provide enhanced opportunities for participation and sport and recreation and could therefore have some positive impact on the objective, particularly as the site is located in an area that was classified as deficient in protected open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as the provision of protected open space does not guarantee that local residents would participate in sport and recreation. It is also recognised that the site is presently used as a tennis club and school playing field and, as such, its designation as protected open space may not result in enhanced opportunities for protected open space.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed use of the site could have some impact on the character of the local area. It would not however result in the improvement of any neglected land/sites identified on the Trafford Derelict Property and Sites list. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant effects on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A		
	The site is located in an area that was classified as deficient in public open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of protected open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space which could have some impact on traffic by reducing the need for local residents to travel in order to access protected open space. Nevertheless, it is considered that the use of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	0	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on image of the local area	.
	The site is located in an area that was classified as deficient in public open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use would therefore result in the creation/protection of protected open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space. As such, the proposed use has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Cavendish Road, Bowdon								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
impacts of consumption and production	The proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant effects on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The site is not known to be contaminated and the site is not included in the NLUD sites database or the Trafford Contaminated Land Prioritisation Mapping. The use of the site as protected open space would not therefore result in the treatment of contaminated land and is unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The site is not within 250m of a watercourse and the use of the as protected open space would not result in the remediation of a site included in the NLUD sites database or the Trafford Contaminated Land Prioritisation mapping. As such, f the proposed use would be unlikely to have a significant impact on water quality.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A		
	The site is located in an area that was classified as deficient in protected open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of protected open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space which could have some impact on traffic, and associated vehicular emissions, by reducing the need for local residents to travel in order to access protected open space. Nevertheless, it is considered that any reduction in traffic is unlikely to be significant and, as such, the use of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	0	?	?	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	Use of appropriate landscaping to minimise the visual impact of the development.
	The site is within close proximity of three conservation areas. The use of the site as protected open space does have the potential to have some impact on these designated heritage assets, particularly the Downs Conservation Area. However, it is difficult to determine whether any such impact would be positive or negative. As such, it is considered that the use of the site as protected open space would have an uncertain impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as protected open space is a non-economic use and would not result in the loss of a previously identified employment site. As such, the proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to create a significant number of employment opportunities or help reduce economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Cavendish Road, Bowdon								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
as a tourism destination	The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the image of Trafford as a tourism destination. As such, the proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the vitality or viability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have a significant impact on the social or environmental performance of the economy. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
<p>The proposed use of the site as protected open space would not have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. The site is located in an area that was classified as deficient in protected open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy (2010). The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of protected open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space. As such, the proposed use has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting and enhancing open space. The provision of this open space could also have some positive impact on the objective that relates to community welfare and, by providing increased opportunities for participation in sport and recreation, could also have some positive effect on the objective that relates to health.</p> <p>The use of site as protected open space would be unlikely to have a negative impact on any of the sustainability objectives. The site is however located in close proximity to a number of designated heritage assets and it is uncertain whether the proposed use of the site would have a positive impact on this objective that relates to townscape character.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Site Address	Land to the rear of Manor Avenue, Sale West		
Site Reference	CFS07-1178-118; CFS07-1260-118		
Proposed Use	Public Open Space	Site Area	2.05 ha

Land to the rear of Manor Avenue, Sale West								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space would not result in the loss of a previously identified housing site and would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure; improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon choice of travel mode. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The site is not located within close proximity of a Priority Regeneration Area or a Regeneration Area and the identification of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The provision of public open space could have a positive impact on community welfare and identity and the proposed use therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the qualification and skills of the population. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health and,	0	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

Land to the rear of Manor Avenue, Sale West								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
inequalities in health of the population	The use of the site as public open space has the potential to provide enhanced opportunities for participation and sport and recreation and could therefore have some positive impact on the objective, particularly as the site is located in an area that was classified as having a ‘poor’ quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as the provision of public open space does not guarantee that local residents would participate in sport and recreation.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed use of the site could have some impact on the character of the local area. It would not however result in the improvement of any neglected land/sites identified on the Trafford Derelict Property and Sites list. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant effects on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A		
	The site is located in an area that was classified as having a ‘poor’ quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use could therefore have some impact on traffic by reducing the need for local residents to travel in order to access public open space. Nevertheless, it is considered that any reduction in traffic is unlikely to be significant and, as such, the use of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	0	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on image of the local area	.
	The site is located in an area that was classified as having a ‘poor’ quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of public open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space. As such, the proposed use has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The identification of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, it would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant effects on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The site is not known to be contaminated and it is not included in the NLUD sites database or the Trafford Contaminated Land Prioritisation Mapping. The use of the site as public open space would not therefore result in the treatment of contaminated land and is unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.							

Land to the rear of Manor Avenue, Sale West								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The site is within 250m of a watercourse. The proposed use of the site would not however result in the reclamation of a site that is identified as a contaminated site by the Contaminated Land Prioritisation Mapping and each of the proposed uses of site is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on water quality.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A		
	The site is located in an area that was classified as having a ‘poor’ quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use could therefore have some impact on traffic, and associated vehicular emissions, by reducing the need for local residents to travel in order to access public open space. Nevertheless, it is considered that any reduction in traffic is unlikely to be significant and, as such, the use of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is unlikely to have a significant impact on the setting of any designated heritage assets and is unlikely to have a significant impact on landscape or townscape character.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is a non-economic use and would not result in the loss of a previously identified employment site. As such, the proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is unlikely to create a significant number of employment opportunities or help reduce economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the image of Trafford as a tourism destination. As such, the proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the vitality or viability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The use of the site as public open space is unlikely to have a significant impact on the social or environmental performance of the economy. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.							

Land to the rear of Manor Avenue, Sale West								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Sustainability Summary								
The proposed use of the site as public open space would not have a significant impact on the majority of the sustainability objectives. The site is located in an area that was classified as having a 'poor' quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy (2010). The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of public open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space. As such, the proposed use has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting and enhancing open space. The provision of this open space could also have some positive impact on the objective that relates to community welfare and, by providing increased opportunities for participation in sport and recreation, could also have a positive effect on the objective that relates to health.								
The use of site as public open space would be unlikely to have a negative impact on any of the sustainability objectives.								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

OS3 – Existing Cemeteries	
Option 1	Identify existing cemeteries that will be protected.
No alternative options considered	

OS3 – Existing Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Protecting existing cemeteries for burial use and for opportunities to enhance the biodiversity value of these areas is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	A cemetery is unlikely to be classified as an essential service or facility. Consequently, protecting these areas would not have a significant impact upon accessibility for all to essential services and facilities and the policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective								
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS3 – Existing Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and skills of the resident population	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy seeks to protect existing cemeteries for burial use and encourages opportunities for appropriate enhancements to their biodiversity value. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective and its objectives of conserving and enhancing habitat diversity and species.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS3 – Existing Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
quality	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon air quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	
	A number of the existing cemeteries in Trafford contain designated heritage assets, such as the grade II listed Chapel at Sale and Brooklands Cemetery. The policy could therefore help protect the setting of these heritage assets and, as such, could have a positive impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

OS3 – Existing Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The proposed policy relating to existing cemeteries would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. The policy does however encourage appropriate opportunities to enhance the biodiversity value of existing cemeteries and, as a result, has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective relating to biodiversity, flora and fauna.</p> <p>A number of the existing cemeteries in Trafford contain designated heritage assets, such as the grade II listed Chapel at Sale and Brooklands Cemetery. By protecting existing cemeteries for burial use, the policy should help protect the setting of these designated heritage assets and would have a positive impact on the objective relating to townscape character and its sub-objective relating to protecting and enhancing listed buildings.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

OS4: New Cemeteries	
Option 1	Extend Dunham cemetery to provide for the whole of Trafford
Option 2	Extend Dunham to provide for burial needs in the south and provide a new cemetery at Carrington for the north.

OS4: New Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	A cemetery is unlikely to be classified as an essential service or facility and, as such, the approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon accessibility for all to essential services and facilities. Consequently, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS4: New Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	-	- -	- -	Medium	Local	Long term		Improve public transport connections to the locations.
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both cemeteries are located away from the main urban areas of Old Trafford, Stretford, Sale and Altrincham and the provision of cemetery facilities in either location is likely to result in increased traffic in their respective areas. As such, both options have the potential to have a negative impact on the objective. The Dunham cemetery is located in the south of the borough. It is outside of the urban area and is only accessible by minor roads. If the extension of Dunham cemetery is the only additional cemetery provision made in the Borough it will result in a considerable increase in traffic in this location as people travel from across the Borough to this facility. As such, this option could have a major negative impact on the objective which would become increasingly significant as other cemeteries reach their capacity. By contrast, the second option will spread any traffic increase across two areas and would therefore a less significant impact on the objective.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon open space, biodiversity and geological features. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts	Improve public transport connections to the

OS4: New Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
to climate change	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	associated with climate change	locations.
	Both potential locations for new cemetery facilities are situated away from the main urban areas of Old Trafford, Stretford, Sale and Altrincham. As such, both options are likely to generate a significant number of trips by private car and have the potential to have a negative impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	-	- -	- -	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	Improve public transport connections to the locations.
	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		
	Both potential locations for new cemetery facilities are located away from the main urban areas of Old Trafford, Stretford, Sale and Altrincham and the provision of cemetery facilities in either location is likely to result in increased traffic in their respective areas. Both options therefore have the potential to have some negative impact on local air quality however option 1 has the potential to have a more significant negative impact on this objective as it would result in people traffic from across the Borough to one location. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as in the absence of a formal Air Quality Impact Assessment it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic generated would be sufficient to have a significant impact on air quality.								
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS4: New Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon townscape and landscape character. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

OS4: New Cemeteries									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Both potential locations for new cemetery facilities are situated away from the main urban areas of Old Trafford, Stretford, Sale and Altrincham. As such, both options are likely to generate a significant number of trips by private car. As such, both options have the potential to have a negative impact on the objectives of reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. However, by just focussing new cemetery facilities in one location in Trafford, option 1 is likely to have a more significant negative impact on the objectives relating to traffic and air quality and this impact is likely to become increasingly significant over the longer term as existing cemetery facilities elsewhere in the Borough reach capacity.									
Both of the options are unlikely to have a significant impact on any of the other objectives.									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

OS5 – Loss of Open Space	
Option 1	Include a policy to protect areas of open space, particularly in areas of deficiency
No alternative options considered	

OS5 – Loss of Open Space									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social									
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space unless there is a surplus of open space in the area or alternative recreational open space of adequate area and quality and in an appropriate location is made available. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective by supporting the retention of these local facilities.								
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space unless there is a surplus of open space in the area or alternative recreational open space of adequate area and quality and in an appropriate location is made available. It is recognised that the provision of open space can have a positive impact on physical and mental well-being. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact upon community welfare.								

OS5 – Loss of Open Space									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space unless there is a surplus of open space in the area or alternative recreational open space of adequate area and quality and in an appropriate location is made available. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in informal sport and recreation. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.								
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space and, as such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of areas of open space would be significant.								
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space unless there is a surplus of open space in the area or alternative recreational open space of adequate area and quality and in an appropriate location is made available. It therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective of protecting open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. Protecting areas of open space would also support the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity.								
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	

OS5 – Loss of Open Space									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.								
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space. It therefore has the potential to support the objective by retaining areas of open space which can help moderate the higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space and would ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development unless there is a surplus of open space in the area or the release of a small part of the site is necessary to ensure the retention and enhancement of the rest of the area. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
	Retaining areas of open space can help moderate surface water run-off and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	The policy provides protection for areas of open space. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space which could result in increased vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on air quality. Parts of Trafford are designated as an AQMA and the retention of existing areas of greenspace, and the trees within them, could help protect air quality by removing some pollutants from the air.								
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	

OS5 – Loss of Open Space									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	A number of the areas of open space in Trafford are designated heritage assets. For instance, a large part of Longford Park in Stretford is a conservation area and Stamford Park in Altrincham is on the Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest. In addition, there are a number of instances where areas of open space are integral to the character and setting of a listed structure, such as the grade II listed Gorse Hill Park Entrance Gates. Consequently, by providing protection for areas of open space, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary									

OS5 – Loss of Open Space									
		Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The proposed policy relating to the loss of open space has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by providing support for participation in informal sport and recreation, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The policy would also have a major positive effect on the objective that seeks to protect open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. The retention of these areas of open space would also have a positive effect on the objectives relating to access to services and facilities; and community welfare.</p> <p>The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on several environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of areas of open space could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, a policy which provides protection to areas of open space has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The retention of areas of open space could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. The policy could also help protect and enhance the setting of a number of heritage assets and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to landscape and townscape character. The policy would also have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with conserving land resources and improving water quality.</p> <p>The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>									

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain

Appendix V

Green Belt and Other Protected Open Land

GB1 – Green Belt								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy would restrict development in the Green Belt which could restrict the amount of land available for housing development. Nevertheless, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing, particularly given that Trafford's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment has demonstrated that there are sufficient suitable and developable sites in the Borough to meet its housing requirements without releasing land from the Green Belt. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	+	+	+	Low	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life and social exclusion	
	By protecting land in the Green Belt, the policy increases the likelihood that new development will be directed to the existing urban area. The policy could therefore help ensure that services and facilities are directed towards more accessible locations within the Borough and thereby have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as the policy itself does not seek to ensure that new development is directed to accessible locations within the urban area.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S8. Improve the health	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

GB1 – Green Belt								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy will lead to the protection of parts of the Green Belt that provide important areas for recreation, such as the Timperley Wedge. In addition, as shown in the Trafford Outdoor Sports Facilities study (2009), there are several outdoor sports facilities in the Borough that are within the Green Belt and which would be protected by the policy. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of increasing participation in, and access to, sport and physical activity facilities.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
	The policy would restrict urban sprawl, which can result in unsustainable patterns of travel, and will instead lead to development being directed to the urban area which provides greater scope to manage and reduce traffic flows through the development of a more compact urban form that increases the viability of public transport. The policy could thereby have a positive impact on the objective. It is however recognised that the resultant development in urban areas could exacerbate existing congestion as identified by the Greater Manchester LDF Transport Modelling Report. In addition, the policy does not in itself ensure that development is directed to the most accessible locations within the urban area. The policy would therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective but there is only a low level of certainty about this.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	Although not all land in the Green Belt has ecological value, there are a number of designated natural assets within the Green Belt which will be afforded additional protection by the policy. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive impact on the element of the objective that relates to protecting biodiversity, flora and fauna.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change	
	The policy would restrict urban sprawl, which can result in unsustainable patterns of travel and associated climate change emissions, and will instead lead to development being directed to the urban area which provides greater scope to manage and reduce traffic flows through the development of a more compact urban form that increases the viability of public transport. The policy could thereby have a positive impact on the objective. It is however recognised that the resultant development in urban areas could exacerbate existing congestion as identified by the Greater Manchester LDF Transport Modelling Report. In addition, the policy does not in itself ensure that development is directed to the most accessible locations within the urban area. The policy would therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective but there is only a low level of certainty about this.							
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

GB1 – Green Belt								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
climate change	The policy will protect greenfield areas within the Green Belt that make a contribution to reducing the impacts of climate change by providing shade and shelter from higher summer temperatures and by helping to control rates and volumes of surface water run-off. The policy could therefore have some positive impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	++	++	++	Medium	More than local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection to areas of largely greenfield land within the Green Belt. The policy would therefore help conserve this greenfield land for the plan period and would therefore have a major positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	?	?	?	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health	Other policies in the Core Strategy will ensure that measures are taken to direct investment to accessible locations and to increase the usage of public transport.
	The policy will lead to the restriction of urban sprawl which can result in unsustainable patterns of travel. It is however recognised that the resultant investment in urban areas could exacerbate existing congestion as identified by the Greater Manchester LDF Transport Modelling Report and thereby have a negative impact on air quality in these areas, which include areas that are already designated as Air Quality Management Areas. The policy would therefore have an uncertain impact on the objective as it is uncertain whether concentrating development in the urban area, including areas designated as AQMAs, is a better approach for protecting air quality than spreading the pollution more thinly across the Borough.							
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy will provide long-term protection against development that would have a detrimental impact on the diversity and distinctiveness of landscapes in the Green Belt. It would also preserve the setting of a number of conservation areas in Trafford, including those in Dunham Town, Dunham Woodhouses and Warburton, and the setting of several listed buildings. It could also afford protection to open fields and strip fields, which are identified as being perhaps the most historically significant landscapes in the Borough by the Trafford Urban Historic Landscape Characterisation Report (2008). The policy would therefore have a major positive impact on the objective.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

GB1 – Green Belt								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The policy would restrict development in the Green Belt which could restrict the amount of land available for employment development. Nevertheless, the Core Strategy has indicated that there is likely to be sufficient employment land in the Borough without releasing land from the Green Belt. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								
<p>The policy would have a positive impact on a wide range of sustainability objectives. It would lead to protection of large areas of largely greenfield land and could therefore have a major positive impact on the objective that relates to conserving land resources. The protection of these areas, and the landscape features within them, together with the associated protection that would be given to the setting of a number of heritage assets, mean that the policy could also have a major positive impact on the objective relating to landscape and townscape character. In addition, due to the proximity of these areas to designated natural assets, the policy could also have some positive effect on the objective of protecting biodiversity. The policy could also have a positive impact on health by affording protection to open spaces that provide important areas for recreation, such as Timperley Wedge, and could also have some positive effect on the objectives of improving access to services and facilities and reducing the impacts of climate change.</p> <p>By restricting urban sprawl which can result in unsustainable patterns of travel, the policy could also have a positive impact on the objectives of reducing the effect of traffic on the environment and reducing contributions to climate change. It is however recognised that the resultant development in urban areas could exacerbate existing congestion as identified by the Greater Manchester LDF Transport Modelling Report, which reduces the level of certainty that the policy would have a positive impact on these objectives. In addition, it is also uncertain whether the resultant focus on the urban area would exacerbate existing air quality issues in parts of the urban area.</p>								

GB2 – Other Protected Open Land								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social								
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0	0	+	Low	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection for areas of open land to the south of both Partington and the Carrington Strategic Location but, in accordance with Core Strategy policy R4, does not preclude the long term development of these sites if they are needed for meeting a future, as yet, unquantified need. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the provision of housing in the short and medium term, particularly given that Trafford's SHLAA has demonstrated that there are sufficient suitable and developable sites in the Borough to meet its housing requirements without releasing either of these sites. The policy should ensure that development does not jeopardise the potential use of these sites in the future to meet the Borough's long term housing needs. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective in the long term. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is presently unknown whether these sites will be needed to meet Trafford's long term development needs.							
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

GB2 – Other Protected Open Land								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geomorphological features	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy provides protection to areas of open land to the south of both Partington and the Carrington Strategic Location. Both of these areas are located in close proximity to designated natural assets. Consequently, by protecting these areas from development, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the element of the objective that relates to protecting biodiversity, flora and fauna.							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant effect upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
	The policy provides protection to areas of open land to the south of both Partington and the Carrington Strategic Location. The policy would therefore help conserve this greenfield land for the plan period and would therefore have a major positive impact on the objective.							
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on water quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							

GB2 – Other Protected Open Land								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
	The policy provides protection to areas of open land to the south of both Partington and the Carrington Strategic Location. By protecting these areas for the plan period the policy could have some positive impact on the objective of protecting the landscape character of these areas.							
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.							
Sustainability Summary								

GB2 – Other Protected Open Land								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
<p>The policy would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. It would lead to protection of areas of greenfield land to the south of both Partington and the Carrington Strategic Location and could therefore have a major positive impact on the objective that relates to conserving land resources. The protection of these areas could also have a beneficial impact on the local landscape character in this area could therefore have a positive impact on the objective relating to landscape character. In addition, due to the proximity of these areas to designated natural assets, the policy could also have some positive effect on the objective of protecting biodiversity.</p> <p>The protection given to these areas would not preclude the long term development of these sites if they are needed for meeting a future, as yet, unquantified need. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the provision of housing in the short and medium term. However, by ensuring that development does not jeopardise the potential future use of these sites to meet the Borough's housing needs, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective relating to achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market in the long term. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is presently unknown whether these sites will be needed to meet Trafford's long term development needs.</p> <p>The policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.</p>								

Key for effects					
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	– minor negative;	– – major negative;	? uncertain