

## **Matters Arising from Hearing Session 6 - Housing**

*Further to the discussion at Hearing session 6 the Council is invited to suggest changes to the Core Strategy in response to the matters set out below. If it is considered that any such changes go to the heart of soundness they should be prefixed (S)300. All suggested changes should be consolidated in CD12.4. They should be submitted by 17.00 on Wednesday 9<sup>th</sup> March 2011. They will be circulated to the other participants of Hearing session 6 whose comments are invited as soon as possible before 11<sup>th</sup> March 2011.*

1. For consistency with the first bullet point of policy L1.2 should the second bullet point be amended by inserting 'at least' after the word 'accommodate'?
2. Policy L1.3 requires clarification; it is delivery that is the issue. Should further explanation of the funding implications for Growth Point/Small sites delivery also be provided in the justification text?
3. Clarification is required of where in the LDF the pdl trajectory, as required by PPS3, will be set out.
4. Should Policy L1.7 be amended to give a high priority to sustainable urban greenfield land?
5. Should Policy L1.8 be amended to address the Council's intended approach towards sustainable urban greenfield land and for consistency with PPS3?
6. Should the title of Table L1 be amended to reflect that the figures that it contains are minimum delivery targets?
7. Does Table L1 present housing provision information in the most appropriate form?
8. For consistency with suggested changes to Policy SL1 should the \*footnote to Table L1 be amended?
9. The first sentence of paragraph 11.11 of the Core Strategy does not read well and could infer that Policy L2 is not founded upon robust evidence. This should be clarified by an amendment.
10. Should text be added to Policy L2 or its justification to clarify how viability considerations will influence affordable housing requirements on a site by site basis?
11. Should the fifth bullet point of Policy SL4.5 be amended to delete the requirement for 30% provision for affordable housing and to provide instead a general cross reference to Policy L2?
12. It is not clear what is required by Policy L2.17 or how this part of the policy will be implemented. Amendments are invited.
13. Is the reference to 'substantial increase' in the penultimate sentence of paragraph 11.17 justified by the evidence. Is it unduly optimistic?

14. Given the Government's stated intention to revoke Circular 1/2006 should the first sentence of paragraph 11.23 be amended?
15. Any other changes discussed and suggested by the Council.