NORTH WEST SuDS PRO-FORMA

This pro-forma is a requirement for any planning application for major development¹.

It supports applicants in summarising and confirming how surface water from a development will be managed sustainably under current and future conditions.

Your sustainable drainage system should be designed in accordance with <u>CIRIA The SuDS Manual C753</u> and any necessary adoption standards.

HOW TO COMPLETE

Blue Box	Instruction/ Question
Orange Box	Evidence Required
White Box	To be completed by Developer / Consultant

- 1. Complete ALL white boxes
- 2. Submit this pro-forma to the Local Planning Authority, along with:
 - Sustainable Drainage Strategy
 - Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment (if required)
 - Minimum supporting evidence, as indicated in orange boxes of this pro-forma.

GUIDANCE TO SUPPORT YOU

The pro-forma should be completed in conjunction with 'Completing your SuDS Pro Forma Guide.'

The pro-forma can be completed using freely available tools such as <u>Tools for Sustainable Drainage Systems</u> or appropriate industry standard surface water management design software.

¹ as defined in Section 2 of <u>Statutory Instrument 2015 No. 595</u> or on sites of 0.5 hectares in Critical Drainage Areas.

SECTION 1. APPLICATION & DEVELOPMENT DETAILS

Planning Application Reference (if available)		
State type of planning application i.e. Pre-application, Outline, Full, Hybrid, Reserved Matters* *Information only required if drainage is to be considered as part of reserved matters application		
Developer(s) Name:		
Consultant(s) Name:		
Development Address (including postcode)		
Development Grid Reference (Eastings/Northings)		
Total Development Site Area (Ha)		
Drained Area (Ha)* of Development		
Please indicate the flood zone that your development is in. Tick all that apply. Based on the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning and the relevant Local Authority Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (to identify Flood Zones 3a/3b).	Flo Flo	ood Zone 1
What is the surface water risk of the site? Tick all that apply. Based on the Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map.		High □ Medium □ Low □
Have you submitted a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)? See separate guidance notes for clarification on when a FRA is required	Yes □	No □
Have you submitted a Sustainable Drainage Strategy?	Yes □	No □
Does your drainage proposal provide multi-functional benefits via SuDS?	Yes □	No □
Expected Lifetime of Development (years) Refer to Planning Practice Guidance "Flood Risk and Coastal Change" Paragraph 026		
Development Type:		State Proposed Number of Residential Units / Quantum of commercial floorspace
Greenfield Site]	
Site is wholly undeveloped, and a new drainage system will be installed		
Previously Developed/ Brownfield Site		
 Site is already developed, and the <u>entirety</u> of the existing surface water drainage system will be used to serve the new development (evidence must be provided to prove existing surface water drainage system is reusable); <u>OR</u> 	Ц	
 Where records of the previously developed system are not available so that the hydraulic characteristics of the system cannot be determined or where the drainage system is not in reasonable working order i.e. broken, blocked or no longer operational for other reasons, then one of the approaches outlined in Section 24.5 of The SuDS Manual (C753) should be adopted. 		

Please list any relevant document ar reference) to support your answers		uding revision				
SECTION 2: IMPERMEABLE AREA AND EXISTING DRAINAGE						
	Existing (E)	Proposed (P)	Change (P – E)			
State Impermeable Area (Ha)						
Evidence Required: Plans showing development layout of site v	vith existing and proposed imper	meable areas.				
Are there existing sewers, watercou	rses water hodies highway	drains soakaways or				
filter drains on the site?	ises, water boules, ingiliary	arams, sounaways or	Yes □ No □ Don'	t Know 🗆		
Evidence Required: Plan(s) showing existing layout to include a Watercourses, open and culverted Water bodies – ponds, swales etc. Sewers, including manholes Highway drains, include manholes, gu	lies etc.					
 Infiltration features - soakaways, filter 	drains etc.					
Drainage Design Outline planning applications should be All other type of planning application s details have been submitted or approved.	hould provide full details or re			drainage		
Select which design approach you a	re taking to manage water q	uantity (refer to Section	3.3 SuDS Manual)			
 Approach 1 – Volume control / Long Term Storage (Technical Standards S2/3, S4/5) The attenuated runoff volume for the 1 in 100 year 6 hour event (plus climate change allowance) is limited to the greenfield runoff volume for the 1 in 100 year 6 hour event, with any additional runoff volume utilising long term storage and either infiltrated or released at 2 l/s/ha The discharge rate for the critical duration 1 in 1 year event is restricted to the 1 in 1 year greenfield runoff rate The discharge rate for the critical duration 1 in 100 year event (plus climate change allowance) is restricted 						
to the 1 in 100 year greenfield	and the second s	ne (pras emmace enange e	movamee, is resurred			
Approach 2 – Qbar (Technical Standards S6) • Justification has been provided that the provision of volume control/long term storage is not appropriate and an attenuation only approach is proposed. All events up to the critical duration 1 in 100 year event (plus climate change allowance) are limited to Qbar (1 in 2 year greenfield rate) or 2 l/s/ha, whichever is greater.						
Evidence Required: Plans showing:						
 Existing flow routes and flood risks Modified flow routes 						
 Contributing and impermeable areas Current (if any) and proposed 'source Chapter 7) Details of drainage ownership 	control' and 'management train'	locations of sustainable dra	iinage components (C753			
 Details of exceedance routes (Technic Topographic survey Locations and number of existing and 						

Please list any relevant de reference) to support you							
SECTION 3: PEAK RUNOFF <u>RATES</u> — TECHNICAL STANDARDS S2, S3 AND S6 UNLESS S1 APPLIES)							
Rainfall Event	Existing Rate (I/s)	Greenfield Rate (I/s)	Proposed Rate (I/s) Previously developed sites - In line with S3 should be equivalent to Greenfield runoff rates – discuss with LLFA if this is not achievable pre-application				
Qbar (Approach 2)							
1 in 1 Year Event (Approach 1)							
1 in 30 Year Event							
1 in 100 Year Event* (Approach 1)							
with additional volumes (lo	ng-term storage volume) releasea	d to the greenfield runoff volume j I at a rate no greater than 2 I/s/ha proposed rate and not the existing	where infiltration is not possible.				
Evidence Required: Methodology used to calculate	e peak runoff rate clearly stated and	justified.					
Impermeable areas plan, supp	ming positive drainage.						
Hydraulic calculations and det							
State the hydraulic metho (Refer to Table 24.1 of The Sul	od used in your calculations OS Manual)						
Please list any relevant de	ocument and or drawing numb	ers (including revision					

Note consideration should be given to manage surface water from both impermeable and permeable surfaces (including gardens

and verges) likely to enter the drainage system.

reference) to support your answers to Section 3.

SECTION 4: DISCHARGE <u>VOLUME</u> – TECHNICAL STANDARDS S4, S5 AND S6 (UNLESS S1 APPLIES)

Rainfall Event	Existing Volume (m³)	Greenfield Volume (m³)	Proposed Volume (m³)				
1 in 100 Year 6 Hour Event (Approach 1)							
Does the below statement apply to your development proposal? Long term storage is not achievable on this site and, in accordance with S6 of the Non Statutory Technical Standards for SuDS, the surface water discharge rates for events up to and including the 1 in 100 year critical event are limited to Qbar (Approach 2) Yes □ No □							
Evidence Required: Approach to managing the quantity	arly stated and justified						
Methodology used to calculate disc							
Hydraulic calculations and details o							
Please list any relevant docum to support your answers to Se	nent and or drawing numbers (i	including revision reference)					

SECTION 5: STORAGE - TECHNICAL STANDARDS S7 AND S8

State climate change allowance used (%)	
State housing density (houses per ha)	
State urban creep allowance used (%)	
Evidence Required: State / used in appropriate industry standard surface water management design software.	
State storage volume required (m³) (excluding non-void spaces)	
Must include an allowance for climate change and urban creep	
Have you incorporated interception into your design? (Refer to Chapter 24 of The SuDS Manual C753)	
Where possible, infiltration or other techniques are to be used to try and achieve zero discharge to receiving waters for rainfall depths up to 5mm.	Yes □ No □
Evidence Required: Drainage plans showing location of attenuation and all flow control devices and supporting calculations.	
Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in 30 year event on site.	
Storage must be designed to ensure that at no flooding occurs onsite in a 1 in 30 year event except in designed areas <u>and</u> no flooding occurs offsite in a 1 in 100 year (plus climate change allowance) event.	
Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in 100 year (plus climate change) event on site.	
Where storage above the 1 in 30 year rainfall event is provided in designated areas designed to accommodate excess surface water volumes, plans showing storage locations and surface water depths and supported by calculations used in appropriate industry standard surface water management design software. It is important to run a range of duration events to ensure the worst case condition is found for each drainage element on the site	
Evidence Required: Plans showing size and location of storage and supporting calculations. Where there is controlled flooding, extents and depths must be indicated.	
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 5.	

SECTION 6: WATER QUALITY PROTECTION

Contaminated surface water run-off can have negative impacts on the quality of receiving water bodies. I	The
potential level of contamination will influence final the design of an appropriate treatment train as part of y	our
sustainable drainage system.	

Is the proposa	Yes □	No□				
If the site is contaminated, it should be demonstrated that the sustainable drainage system will not increase the risk of pollution to controlled waters though the mobilisation of contaminants and/or creation of new pollution pathways.						
Confirm the P	ollution Haz	ard Level of the proposed development - Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply				
Refer to Pollut guidance.	ion Hazard I	ndices for different Land Use Classifications in Table 26.2 of The SuDS I	Manual C753 j	for further		
Pollution Ha		Surface water run-off from the proposed development will drain fr	om:			
VERY LOW		Residential roofs				
LOW	 Other roofs (typically commercial/industrial roofs) Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic roads (e.g. cul de sacs, home-zones and general access roads) Non-residential car parking with infrequent change (e.g. schools, offices) i.e. < 300 traffic movements/day 					
MEDIUM		 Commercial yard and delivery areas Non-residential car parking with frequent change (e.g. hospitals, ret All roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/motorways² 	cail)			
HIGH	 Sites with heavy pollution (e.g. haulage yards, lorry parks, highly frequented lorry approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and fuels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to be delivered, handled 					
The second secon		ition Hazard Level is 'Very Low' or 'Low', has the sustainable assessed and appropriate mitigation measures included?	Yes □	No□		
		ment has a very low or low polluting potential, you should design your sus propriate treatment train in accordance with The SuDS Manual (C753).	tainable drain	age		
		ation Hazard Level is 'Medium' or 'High', is the application rater quality risk assessment?	Yes □	No□		
 If the proposed development has a high polluting potential, a detailed risk assessment will be required to identify an appropriate SuDS treatment train and ensure compliance with Paragraph 170 of the National Planning Policy Framework. If the proposed development has a medium polluting potential, a detailed risk assessment may be required depending on the nature, scale and location of the development. 						
Has pre-applic	cation advice	e on water quality been obtained from the Environment Agency?	Yes □	No□		
If YES, provide	e details:					
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 6.						

 $^{^{2}}$ Motorways and trunk roads should follow the guidance and risk assessment process set out in Highways Agency (2009).

SECTION 7: DETAILS OF YOUR SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEM

a) Function of your Sustainable Drainage System

Do your proposals store rainwater for later use (as a resource)?	Yes □	No □
Evidence Required: Please provide a brief sentence in the adjacent white box to describe how this function has		
been achieved.		
Do your proposals promote source control to manage rainfall close to where it falls? (e.g. promoting natural losses through soakage, infiltration and evapotranspiration)	Yes □	No □
Evidence Required:		
Please provide a brief sentence in the adjacent white box to describe how this function has been achieved.		
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision		
reference) to support your answers to Section 7a.		

b) Hierarchy of Drainage Options – Planning Practice Guidance

The proposed method of discharge are set out within order of priority. Generally, the aim should be to discharge surface run off as high up the following hierarchy of drainage options as reasonably practicable.

Proposed method of surface water discharge			Is this proposed?			
Hierarchy Level 1: Into the ground (via infiltration)		Yes □ No □				
	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO — Evidence Required Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply		
	A. Completed Infiltration Checklist from The SuDS Manual (C753) Appendix B An editable version of this form is available on SusDrain website.		A.	Site investigation to demonstrate that the ground is not free draining. Test results to be provided in accordance with: • The methodology within BRE 365 (2016), <u>OR</u> • Falling head permeability tests BS EN ISO 22282-2: 2012		
	B. British Geological Survey (BGS) Infiltration SuDS Map		В.	NOTE: where an applicant is unable to access a site to undertake testing, e.g. where unable to access a site for an outline application, they can submit a <u>SuDS GeoReport</u> or similar.		
	C. Infiltration testing to BRE 365 (2016) or falling head permeability tests to BS EN ISO 2228-2: 2012 (optional for outline)		C.	Evidence to confirm that infiltration to ground would result in a risk of deterioration to ground water quality.		
	'Plan B' sustainable drainage plan and statement of approach with an alternative discharge method, in case infiltration proposals are proven not feasible upon further site specific ground investigation e.g. to consider seasonal variations to groundwater.		D.	Geotechnical advice from a competent person* which determines that infiltration of water to ground would pose an unacceptable risk of geohazards to the site and/or local area. *Note: Competent person may include a Chartered Engineer, Chartered Geologists, Registered Ground Engineering Professionals (RoGEP).		

Proposed method of surface water discharge			Is this propo	sed?		
Hierarchy Level 2: To a surface water body (select type)			Yes □ No □	N/A □		
NOTE: Consent from LLFA or Permit from Environment Agency may be required – refer to quidance			☐ Main river☐ Ordinary watercourse	☐ Canal ☐ Other water body		
may be re	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO – Evidence Require		
П	Surface water body / watercourse survey		Plan sho	Tick ALL that apply owing nearby watercourses and waterbodies		
	and report		AND	wing ficulty water courses and water	boules	
				ent providing justification in your Sust	ainable Drainage Strategy	
				where third party land is cited as a ban of discussions held to date with the r ody.		
Proposed	d method of surface water discharge			Is this propo	sed?	
	y Level 3: To a surface water sewer.	go to o		Yes □ No □	N/A □	
highway	ford will not accept surface water draina drain	ge to a		☐ Surface water sewer		
	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO – Evidence Require Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply	ed	
	Written correspondence from Water and		Plan sho	owing nearby sewers		
	Sewerage Company regarding proposed connection.		AND Stateme	ent providing justification in your Sust	ainable Drainage Strategy	
Proposed	d method of surface water discharge			Is this propo	sed?	
Hierarch	y Level 4: To combined sewer			Yes □ No □	N/A □	
	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO – Evidence Require	ed	
	Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company	N/A				
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 7b.						

c) Proposed SuDS Component Types

	Tick ALL that apply					
Within property boundary	☐ Rainwater harvesting	☐ Green/ blue roofs	☐ Pervious pavements [Type: A ☐ B ☐ C ☐]	☐ Soakaway	☐ Bio retention systems	
			,			
			Tick ALL that apply			
	☐ Infiltration systen	า				
	[Type: \square Surface le	vel 🗆 Below ground]	☐ Filter strips	☐ Filter drains	☐ Swales	
Within development site boundary	☐ Bio retention system	☐ Detention basins	☐ Ponds and wetlands	☐ Attenuation tanks/ Oversized pipes	☐ Other (state below)	
(not property)	If 'Other' please stat	te:				
	•					
Off site	Please state:					
(not within the	Pieuse state:					
boundary of the						
proposed development)						
ucveropmenty						
I confirm that the al SuDS Manual (C753		ponents have been o	designed in accordan	ice with The	I confirm \square	
I confirm that the m	anagement of flow	vs resulting from rai	nfall in excess of a 1	in 100 year plus		
I confirm that the management of flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 year plus climate change rainfall event, and their exceedance route(s), has been fully considered in order to minimise the risks to people, property (new and existing) and infrastructure.						
Please list any relev reference) to suppo		——————————————————————————————————————	s (including revision			

SECTION 8: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE — TECHNICAL STANDARD S12 AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

The applicant is responsible for ensuring that ALL components selected in Section 7 can be maintained for the lifetime of the development. The information required below will enable the developer to demonstrate the maintenance arrangements to the Local Planning Authority and will allow the Local Planning Authority to consider how it will be secured (e.g. via planning condition or planning obligation).

	Information Provided?
Management Plan	Yes □ No □
 Evidence Required: Plan/ drawing provided to show the position of the different SuDS components with: Key included to identify any of the adopting bodies that you will be offering your sustainable drainage components for adoption (relates to maintenance and management arrangements below). Plan/ drawing to identify any areas where certain activities are prohibited, detailing reasons why. Action plan for accidental pollutant spillages. 	
Action plan for accidental pollutant spinages.	<u> </u>
	Information Provided?
Maintenance Schedule	Yes □ No □
Evidence Required: A copy of the maintenance schedule including: 1. Proactive and preventative maintenance Detailing regular, occasional and remedial maintenance activities including recommendations for inspection and monitoring. This should include recommended frequencies, advice on plant/ machinery required and an explanation of the objectives for the maintenance proposed and potential implications of not meeting them. 2. Reactive and corrective maintenance (e.g. product repair and replacement). Including advice on excavations, or similar works, in locations that could affect the SuDS components/ adjacent structures.	
	Information Provided?
Maintenance and Management Arrangements	Yes □ No □
Evidence Required: Evidence of formal agreement with the party responsible for undertaking maintenance. Please select any of the adopting bodies that you will be offering your sustainable drainage components for adoption. Tick all that apply. Water and Sewerage Company Section 104 agreement (Water Industry Act 1991) Local Authority Public Open Space [Refer to local authority policy & check with local authority first] Please select the arrangement(s) for all non-adopted sustainable drainage components. Tick all that apply. Management Company Property Owner (for SuDS components within property boundary only) Other (please state)	
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 8.	

DECLARATION AND SUBMISSION

This pro-forma has been completed using evidence from information which has been submitted with the planning application.

The information submitted in the Sustainable Drainage Strategy and site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA), where submitted, is proportionate to the site conditions, flood risks and magnitude of development and I agree that this information can be used as evidence to this sustainable drainage approach.

Agent Details				
Completed by		Email Address		
		Telephone Number(s)		
Signed off by		Accreditation(s) and/or Qualification(s) of Signatory		
Date (dd/mm/yyyy)		Company		

Client Details		
Name	Company	